2010. Том 51, № 4

Июль – август

*C.* 644 – 649

UDC 539.19:547.895.82

## MOLECULAR DESIGN AND PROPERTIES PREDICTION OF CYCLOTETRAMETHYLENE TETRANITRAMINE (HMX) DERIVATIVES WITH AMIDO GROUPS

© 2010 B. Tan<sup>1</sup>\*, R. Peng<sup>2</sup>, H. Li<sup>2</sup>, B. Jin<sup>2</sup>, S. Chu<sup>2</sup>, X. Long<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Space Science and Technology, Beijing Institute of Technology, 100081, Beijing, P.R. China

<sup>2</sup>College of Material Science and Engineering, Southwest University of Science and Technology, 621010, Mianyang, Sichuan, P.R. China

<sup>3</sup>China Academy of Engineering Physics (CAEP), 621900, Mianyang, Sichuan, P.R. China

Received December, 14, 2009

DFT/BLYP/DNP method was employed to calculate the total energy of cyclotetramethylene tetranitramine (HMX) derivatives modified with amido groups and the bond dissociation energy of the weakest N—NO<sub>2</sub> bond. The bond dissociation energy decreases with the number of amido groups. Isodesmic reactions were used to calculate standard molar enthalpies of formation of the derivatives; these values increase with the number of amido groups but not according to additivity rules. Entropy and heat capacity were also investigated. NBO analysis was applied to study the bond orders and the second perturbation energy of the N—NO<sub>2</sub> bonds; these parameters decrease regularly with the number of amido groups. Taking a single amido derivative as an example to analyze the vibration frequencies, it is shown that all the strongest vibrations are related with the N—NO<sub>2</sub> bonds.

K e y w o r d s: octogen, bond dissociation energy, enthalpy of formation, bond order.

### INTRODUCTION

Cyclotetramethylene tetranitramine (HMX) is one of universal high explosives. With its good parameters invariability and chemical stability, the explosive has attracted a great deal of attention among researchers. Sensitivity is an important parameter defining the invariability of explosives, and in practice it is more important than energy. One is familiar with the fact that if hydrogen atoms on benzene ring of 1,3,5-trinitrobenzene are replaced with two or three amido groups, the resulting DATB and TATB exhibit good invariability and low sensitivity.

In this work we investigated by theoretical calculations whether HMX derivatives modified with amido groups should be new explosives with low sensitivity and high energy. The computations targeted standard molar enthalpy of formation, heat capacity and entropy for a series of compounds, as well as bond order, bond dissociation energy, second order perturbation energy and characteristic vibration frequencies on the weakest N— $NO_2$  bond in these compounds.

## MODELS AND CALCULATION DETAILS

In this paper the thermodynamic parameters and bond dissociation energy were studied using DMol3 [1] mode in Materials Studio package. Generalized Gradient Approximation and DNP (Double Numerical plus Polarization) basic set were adopted in Density Function Theory BLYP [2] calculations. Every molecular structure was optimized to a minimum energy; the greatest gradient converged to 0.004 Ha/Å (Hartrees per Ångström), the greatest displacement to 0.005 Å, energy to  $2.0 \times 10^{-5}$  Ha,

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail: tanbisheng@swust.edu.cn



Fig. 1. Molecular structures of studied HMX derivatives with amido groups

and self-confident field to  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  Ha. There were no imaginary frequencies in the frequency analysis. Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) method in Gaussian-03 was applied to calculate bond orders and second order perturbation energies. Enthalpy of formation is a measure of energy content of a compound. It is an important factor in designing new energetic materials or evaluating existing ones. It helps to investigate characteristics of energetic materials as it enters into the calculation of explosive and propellant properties such as detonation velocity, detonation pressure and specific impulse. In order to obtain the accurate standard molar enthalpy of formation of amido-HMXs, five isodesmic reactions were used in calculating parameters. Because the number and types of the chemical bonds were kept the same, any significant systematic errors were avoided and the theoretical values were adjustable to the experimental. The reaction molar enthalpies of isodesmic reactions were described by equations (1) and (2):

$$\Delta_{\rm r} H_{\rm m}^{\Theta} = \sum \Delta_{\rm f,p} H_{\rm m}^{\Theta} - \sum \Delta_{\rm f,R} H_{\rm m}^{\Theta} = \Delta E_{298.15} + \Delta(pV), \tag{1}$$

$$\Delta E_{298,15} = \Delta E_0 + \Delta ZPVE + \Delta H_T. \tag{2}$$

In equation (1),  $\Delta_r H_m^{\ominus}$  is the reaction molar enthalpy,  $\Delta(pV)$  equals  $\Delta nRT$  under the condition of ideal gas. In the isodesmic reactions,  $\Delta n = 0$ , so  $\Delta(pV) = 0$ . The first two items on the right side of equation (2) are the total energy difference between products and reactants, and the energy difference of zero point vibration energy.  $\Delta H_T$  is temperature change factor,  $\sum \Delta_{f,p} H_m^{\ominus}$  and  $\sum \Delta_{f,R} H_m^{\ominus}$  are standard enthalpies of formation of products and reactants, respectively. Because the experimental enthalpy of formation in gas of referenced molecules including HMX, methylamine and methane are all known [4-6] (HMX:  $\Delta_f H_{solid}^{\circ} = 75.0$  kJ/mol;  $\Delta_{sub}H = 161.0 \pm 0.3$  kJ/mol;  $\Delta_f H_{das}^{\circ} = \Delta_f H_{solid}^{\circ} + \Delta_{sub}H = 236.0$  kJ/mol; CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>:  $\Delta_f H_{das}^{\circ} = -23.5$  kJ/mol), standard molar enthalpy of formation of target molecules M2—M6 (Fig. 1) can be calculated according to equation (1).

The isodesmic reaction scheme for M2 was designed as (3):

Similarly, other isodesmic reactions were designed as follows:

$$M3+2CH_4=M1+2CH_3NH_2,$$
(4)

Table 1

Molekula	$E_0$ (Hatree)	ZPVE, kJ/mol	<i>H</i> <sub>298.15</sub> , kJ/mol	$\Delta_f H_{\mathrm{m}}^{\Theta}, \mathrm{kJ/mol}$
$CH_4$	-40.499	116.232	10.016	-74.400
CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>2</sub>	-95.846	164.243	11.577	-23.500
M1	-1196.880	478.043	46.137	236.000
M2	-1252.235	524.799	53.421	?
M3	-1307.598	563.639	57.049	?
M4	-1307.582	564.070	55.831	?
M5	-1362.933	606.191	60.785	?
M6	-1418.288	651.645	62,254	?

Thermochemical parameters of studied molecules in gas phase

 $M4+2CH_{4} = M1+2CH_{3}NH_{2},$ (5)  $M5+2CH_{4} = M1+2CH_{3}NH_{2},$ (6)

$$M5+3CH_4 = M1+3CH_3NH_2, (6)$$

$$M6 + 4CH_4 = M1 + 4CH_3NH_2.$$
 (7)

The results are listed in Table 1.

According to the data of Table 1 and equation (1), the standard molar enthalpies of formation of molecules M2 to M6 are 270.365, 272.153, 313.373, 354.273 and 378.521 kJ/mol, respectively. So the standard molar enthalpy of formation of molecules modified with a greater number of amido groups becomes higher (however the tendency is not consistent with a simple group-addition rule). The HMX derivatives M2—M6 should have higher energy than HMX according to the standard molar enthalpies of formation.

# CALCULATIONS ON BOND DISSOCIATION ENERGY AND BOND ORDER

From a mass spectrum of HMX in NIST database (http://webbook.nist.gov/chemistry), the relative mass of the most abundant group is 46, which is nitryl. Therefore the weakest bond in HMX molecule is the N—NO<sub>2</sub> bond. This bond is usually considered as the shock initiation chemical bond in nitroamine molecules, which is evidently related to the shock sensitivity. In fact, the introduction of amido groups changes the N—NO<sub>2</sub> bond length, bond order and bond dissociation energy from the primary HMX molecule (only the weakest N—NO<sub>2</sub> bonds are considered). According to the literature [7—11], the bond dissociation energy and bond order of the weakest N—NO<sub>2</sub> bond in nitroamine molecules have clear relationship with the shock sensitivity. Second order perturbation energy E(2) [13] can also explain the chemical bonds formation in terms of natural molecular orbitals.

The N—NO<sub>2</sub> bond dissociation energy was calculated using equation (8):

$$BDE_{(RN-NO_2)} = E_{(RN)} + E_{(NO_2)} - E_{(RN-NO_2)}.$$
(8)

In equation (8)  $BDE_{(RN-NO_2)}$  represents bond dissociation of molecule RN-NO<sub>2</sub>,  $E_{(RN)}$ ,  $E_{(NO_2)}$  and  $E_{(RN-NO_2)}$  represent total energy of RN, NO<sub>2</sub> and RN-NO<sub>2</sub>, respectively, with RN and NO<sub>2</sub> being radicals. The reactions are homolytical dissociation and the calculation results are for open shell systems. The result from equation (8) is in good agreement with the experimental values [12]. Wiberg bond index is expressed as  $W_{AB} = \sum_{\mu \in A} \sum_{\nu \in B} P_{\mu\nu}^2$ , where  $P_{\mu\nu}$  is a density matrix element between

the atoms A and B. The second order perturbation energy is defined as  $E(2) = \Delta E_{ij} = q_i \frac{F(ij)^2}{\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_i}$ ,

where  $q_i$  is the donor orbital occupancy,  $\varepsilon_i$  and  $\varepsilon_j$  are diagonal elements (orbital energies), and  $F_{ij}$  is the off-diagonal NBO fock matrix element. E(2) is a kind of stabilization energy; the greater it is, the more energy the donor orbital supplies to the acceptor orbital. The calculation results are listed in Table 2.



Table 2

<i>l</i> <sub>N—N</sub> , Å	BO <sub>N-N</sub>	BDE, kJ/mol	$E_{\rm LUMO} - E_{\rm HOMO},  {\rm eV}$	<i>E</i> (2), kJ/mol
$l_{12} = 1.435$	0.9760	196.85	3.402	164.60
$l_{12} = 1.444$	0.9673	191.80	3.399	133.01
$l_{78} = 1.420$	1.0140	194.99	3.174	159.33
$l_{78} = 1.449$	0.9981	191.02	3.186	153.97
$l_{78} = 1.454$	0.9729	174.84	3.118	60.375
$l_{56} = 1,479$	0.9277	161.44	2.535	44.308
	$I_{N-N}, \text{\AA}$ $I_{12} = 1.435$ $I_{12} = 1.444$ $I_{78} = 1.420$ $I_{78} = 1.449$ $I_{78} = 1.454$ $I_{56} = 1,479$	$l_{\rm NN}$ , Å ${\rm BO}_{\rm NN}$ $l_{12} = 1.435$ $0.9760$ $l_{12} = 1.444$ $0.9673$ $l_{78} = 1.420$ $1.0140$ $l_{78} = 1.449$ $0.9981$ $l_{78} = 1.454$ $0.9729$ $l_{56} = 1,479$ $0.9277$	$l_{\rm NN}$ , ÅBOBDE, kJ/mol $l_{12} = 1.435$ 0.9760196.85 $l_{12} = 1.444$ 0.9673191.80 $l_{78} = 1.420$ 1.0140194.99 $l_{78} = 1.449$ 0.9981191.02 $l_{78} = 1.454$ 0.9729174.84 $l_{56} = 1,479$ 0.9277161.44	$l_{\rm NN}$ , ÅBOBDE, kJ/mol $E_{\rm LUMO} - E_{\rm HOMO}$ , eV $l_{12} = 1.435$ 0.9760196.853.402 $l_{12} = 1.444$ 0.9673191.803.399 $l_{78} = 1.420$ 1.0140194.993.174 $l_{78} = 1.449$ 0.9981191.023.186 $l_{78} = 1.454$ 0.9729174.843.118 $l_{56} = 1,479$ 0.9277161.442.535

The calculated results for M1 to M6 (for numbering scheme see Fig. 2)

From Table 2 one can find that bond length, bond order, bond dissociation energy and  $\ddot{N} - \ddot{N}_{O}$ ( $\sigma_N \rightarrow \sigma_{N-O}^*$ ) second order perturbation energy of the weakest N—NO<sub>2</sub> bonds in HMX molecule change regularly with the introduction of amido groups; N—NO<sub>2</sub> bonds become longer, the bond orders and bond dissociation energy become smaller,  $\ddot{N} - N_{O}^{O}$  ( $\sigma_N \rightarrow \sigma_{N-O}^*$ ) second order perturbation energies and energy gap of the frontier orbitals of the molecules all become smaller. Some deviations

energies and energy gap of the frontier orbitals of the molecules all become smaller. Some deviations happen in the changing process, such as those for M3, the diamido derivative with the groups opposed to each other: bond length and other relevant parameters are on the high side. From the evidences above, one can conclude that the new compounds should be unstable due to the introduction of amido groups.

### VIBRATIONAL ANALYSIS AND CALCULATIONS OF HEAT CAPACITY AND ENTROPY

Heat capacity  $(C_p)$  and entropy (S) are also significant properties of high energy compounds. These quantities were described as follows:

$$C_p = C_{\text{tra}} + C_{\text{rot}} + C_{\text{vib}}(T), \tag{9}$$

$$C_{\rm vib} = R \sum_{i} \frac{(hv_i / kT)^2 \exp(-hv_i / kT)}{\left[1 - \exp(-hv_i / kT)\right]^2},$$
(10)

$$S = S_{\text{tra}}(T) + S_{\text{rot}}(T) + S_{\text{vib}}(T).$$
(11)

The heat capacities of M1—M6 at constant pressure and 298.15 K were worked out without any corrections; they were 267.64, 305.36, 331.01, 324.26, 354.28, 372.32 J/mol·K, respectively.

The variational trends of heat capacity and entropy from 25 K to 1000 K are shown in Figures 3 and 4.

The heat capacities (Fig. 3) have the tendency to rise with the increasing number of amido groups, especially on going from HMX to the monoamide derivative. Heat capacities change slower after 500 K, the contribution to heat capacity being mainly from molecular vibration.

As to the entropies (Fig. 4), they increase in nearly linear fashion. It is understandable as the translation, rotation and vibration components all comprise a temperature factor.



*Fig. 3.* Heat capacities of M1 to M6 versus temperature

Fig. 4. Entropies of M1 to M6 versus termperature

In order to investigate the vibrational characteristics, the HMX derivative M2 was considered as an example and its vibration frequencies and their intensities were obtained as shown in Fig. 5.

There are 84 characteristic vibrational modes in compound M2. Among them the stronger characteristic vibration modes 769.9 cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponding to N—H bond shearing vibration in amido group; 790.3 and 802.2 cm<sup>-1</sup> due to C—N stretching vibration in the heterocycle; 808.9, 833.9, 849.0, 1218.8 and 1222.6 cm<sup>-1</sup> due to N—N bond stretching vibration (1218.8 cm<sup>-1</sup> is the strongest); 1525.8, 1537.3, 1549.1 and 1574.4 cm<sup>-1</sup> due to N—O bond asymmetric stretching vibration in NO<sub>2</sub> group.

Bader [14] and Laurence [15] considered that there probably are some internal relationship between shock wave sensitivity of explosives and some characteristic vibration frequencies in explosive molecules. All these strong vibration modes calculated are related to N—NO<sub>2</sub>, so it can convince one that N—NO<sub>2</sub> is the most significant point affecting the shock sensitivity of nitroamine molecules.

### CONCLUSION

From BLYP/DNP method and isodesmic reactions calculations, the standard molar enthalpies of formation of M2 to M6 were obtained; they are 270.365, 272.153, 313.373, 354.273 and 378.521 kJ/mol, respectively, indicating a tendency to increase. The heat capacities and entropies were also investigated. The weakest N—NO<sub>2</sub> bonds dissociation energies from M1 to M6 are 196.85, 191.80, 194.99, 191.02, 174.84 and 161.44 kJ/mol, repectively, presenting a downtrend. The frontier orbital energy gaps also present a downtrend. Natural bond orbital analysis indicates that the bond orders (Wiberg bond index) of the weakest N—NO<sub>2</sub> bonds are gradually reduced from monoamide-HMX to tetraamide-HMX,  $\sigma_N \rightarrow \sigma_{N-O}^*$  second order perturbation energies change exhibiting a similar trend.

From the calculations and analyses of this work one can conclude that the introduction of amido groups to HMX is advantageous in terms of energy. However, as evident from bond dissociation



energy of the weakest bond in the molecule, the addition of amido groups to HMX leads to unstable compounds.

Acknowledgment. The authors gratefully acknowledge the grants from China Academy of Engineering Physics (project 2007A03002) and the China national "973" subitem (61383010102).

Fig. 5. Frequencies and their intensity for M2

#### REFERENCES

- (a) Delley B. // J. Chem. Phys. 1990. 92. P. 508. (b) Delley B. // Ibid. 2000. 113. P. 7756. (c) Material Studio 3.0. Accelrys Inc., San Diego, 2003.
- 2. (a) *Becke A.D.* // J. Chem. Phys. 1988. **88**. P. 2547. (b) *Lee C., Yang W., Parr R.G.* // Phys. Rev. B. 1988. **37**. P. 785 789.
- 3. *Hahre W.J., Radom L., Schleyer P.V.R., Pople J.A. Ab Initio* Molecular Orbital Theory. New York: Wiley, 1986.
- 4. Ornellas D.L. // Combust. Flame. 1974. 23. P. 37 46.
- 5. Cundall R.B., Palmer T.F., Wood C.E.C. // J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. I. 1978. 74. P. 1339.
- 6. Aston J.G., Siller C.W., Messerly G.H. // J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 1937. 59. P. 1743.
- 7. Brill T.B., Oyumi Y.J. // J. Phys. Chem. 1986. 90. P. 2679.
- 8. Grodzicki M., Seminario J.M., Politzer P. // Theor. Chim. Acta. 1990. 77. P. 359.
- 9. Seminario J.M., Grodzicki M., Politzer P. // Density Functional Methods in Chemistry. New York: Springer, 1991 (Chapter 28).
- 10. Xiao J., Li J. // Energetic Materials. 2002. 10, N 4. P. 178 181.
- 11. Ju X., Xiao H. // J. Molecular Science (Chinese). 2003. 19, N 2. P. 64 67.
- Miroshichenko E.A., Kostikova L.M., Inozemtcev J.O. et al. // 32-nd Intern. Ann. Conf. of ICT. Karlsruhe, Germany, 2001. – P. 103/1 – 103/8.
- 13. Dlott D.D., Fayer M.D. // J. Chem. Phys. 1990. 92. P. 798 812.
- 14. Bader R.F.W. // Atoms in Molecules: a Quantum Theory. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1990.
- 15. Fried L.E., Manaa M.R., Pagoria P.F., Simpson R.L. // Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 2001. 31. P. 291 321.