
: 336.14:352

: , 2016, 3 (91), . 219–237

• •

2006–2014 .

[1; 3; 5].

[2]. , . -

- , . -

, . -

2 . , -

100 . . -

XXI . (-

), -

. -

, -

[6]. -

, -

. -

, -

69% (- 60%), -

- 73% -

(- 70%). -

. -

. -

- 2014 . -

(-

-) . 1. -

, -

, , . -

-
 , 2014 . .

		-	-		
	11137	4564	8537	24237	25110
	5864	2458	7762	16084	16471
	5559	2998	7690	16247	17013
- -	11940	2321	12002	26263	27046
*	5702	4419	11243	21364	21599
*	8492	3187	6893	18573	20024
	11542	2280	9295	23118	23429
	7382	4019	7445	18846	19939
	9419	2490	8137	20047	20376
	8103	4930	9098	22131	23182
	6677	3399	15445	25521	25843
	10286	3893	12635	26814	27787
	4298	3240	8893	16431	16998
	7939	3303	9712	20953	21642

* 2013 .

. 1,

$()$	$= /$	$- ; -$
$()^*$	$= /$	$- (; -$
$()^*$	$= /$	$- ; -$
$()^*$	$= /$	$- ; -$
$()$	$= /$	$< 0, = 0$

* , ,) .I : - (- ; - .II : - (, - ; - .

. 2

, , - . , - , , - . , - , - . , - .

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
'	36,67	35,34	36,99	40,54	37,92	35,26	42,21	42,02	45,95
:	19,48	19,10	23,45	25,83	24,53	23,47	30,14	30,90	33,99
-	5,15	3,45	3,75	4,06	3,93	3,60	3,77	3,28	3,39
	12,04	12,80	9,80	10,65	9,47	8,19	8,29	7,83	8,35
'	33,46	26,02	31,49	24,02	25,02	25,84	18,80	17,81	18,83
:									
-	0,83	0,64	0,66	0,85	2,08	1,69	0,56	0,56	0,72
-	15,02	12,03	13,25	11,39	9,59	8,39	9,23	8,64	10,72
	10,64	8,89	10,23	5,16	7,49	7,65	5,50	4,91	4,31

2014 . (. 4). ,

-

.

' -

- 2006–2014 .

-

, . -

,

- 2014 .,

.

: , 2016, 3 (91) 225

, % (2014 ./ 2006–2014 .)

	36/48	23/32	5/6	8/10	15/21	1/1	6/9	7/4
	34/45	14/32	4/5	16/9	18/20	1/1	12/12	3/5
- -	45/44	20/22	8/8	14/12	9/14	1/1	5/8	1/2
*	27/31	19/24	5/5	2/2	21/35	1/1	11/13	7/4
**	46/43	24/24	7/7	15/13	17/14	1/1	9/6	4/3
	50/54	29/31	2/3	19/20	10/14	1/1	4/7	3/4
	39/41	28/27	4/4	7/8	21/20	1/1	12/11	5/4
	47/59	33/43	3/4	11/12	12/15	1/1	9/9	2/2
	37/43	23/30	4/5	9/9	22/20	1/1	12/13	7/3
	26/36	15/21	2/4	9/10	13/11	1/1	10/7	1/2
	38/39	24/24	4/3	4/4	15/12	1/1	7/6	6/5
	26/32	20/25	5/6	1/1	20/32	1/1	9/8	2/7
	38/43	23/28	4/5	10/9	16/19	1/1	9/9	4/4
	46/39	34/26	3/4	8/10	19/25	1/1	11/11	4/7

*

2006–2013 .

**

2007–2013 .

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
	70,13	61,36	68,48	64,55	62,93	61,10	61,01	59,82	64,78
,	25,01	35,25	31,52	35,45	37,07	38,90	38,99	40,18	35,22
:	3,09	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	1,33	9,07	14,02	10,31	13,51	14,09	15,92	22,42	7,05
	19,16	25,84	16,72	24,54	23,32	22,77	21,54	17,39	25,27
	-	-	0,69	0,53	0,19	2,37	1,61	0,14	2,76

.
 -
 -
 ()
 (. 5).
 2006–2013 . 70 60%.
 (25 40%).
 (53 77% 2006–2014),
 . 2014 .
 5%,
 . 2014 .
 ,
 . 2006 2007 .
 ,
 .
 4,9 3,4% .

		, %					
		(2014 . / 2006–2014 .)					
		52/69	48/31	.../0	18/14	30/14	0/1
		53/65	47/35	1/1	9/12	38/24	0/0
- -		54/58	46/42	.../0	0/16	32/24	2/1
*		47/66	53/34	0/0	32/20	19/16	1/1
**		63/57	37/43	0/0	15/24	18/14	5/5
		60/67	40/30	3/1	6/9	31/18	1/3
		60/61	40/39	0/0	12/24	27/18	0/1
		59/73	41/27	0/0	14/10	27/14	.../1
		59/63	41/37	.../...	12/16	27/20	2/1
		39/47	61/53	1/5	17/20	43/28	0/1
		53/52	47/46	0/0	8/14	39/31	0/0
		46/63	54/34	1/...	24/14	29/20	0/0
		54/62	46/38	1/1	14/16	30/20	1/1
		65/64	35/35	.../...	7/14	25/22	3/1

*

2006–2013 .

**

2007–2013 .

. 6.

2014 .

2006–2014 .,

	, %									
	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	
	9,72	7,19	7,15	8,74	8,01	6,08	6,22	5,98	6,98	
	2,53	1,95	2,11	2,55	2,84	2,21	0,50	0,50	0,63	
	9,62	15,07	15,86	13,76	18,65	3,39	14,80	19,54	16,95	
-	21,01	19,62	18,22	12,98	13,00	27,59	12,39	14,93	10,39	
	0,03	0,02	0,01	0,01	0,01	0,01	0,01	0,01	0,01	
	34,23	30,64	35,37	37,82	35,41	35,93	45,85	49,28	52,17	
,	2,37	1,94	1,90	2,19	1,83	2,21	1,71	1,77	2,12	
	16,92	11,61	13,90	15,44	15,04	17,45	11,93	1,55	1,82	
	3,58	11,96	4,91	6,50	5,12	3,80	5,11	4,88	6,28	

- -

,

.

(. 7)

-

-

(. 8).

2006 2014 .

9,72 6,98%.

3%, 2014 . 0,63%.

(

)

19,54%

9,62

	(2014 . /		2006–2014 .)		, %
	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-
	12/14	13/7	7/21	58/41	3/2
	9/11	11/10	10/16	55/41	7/8
- -	6/5	13/10	17/28	35/27	20/16
*	10/8	15/9	22/21	36/33	3/3
**	10/9	17/22	17/19	46/34	1/3
	9/7	14/9	10/18	50/39	8/7
	6/6	22/22	8/20	53/39	2/3
	8/8	17/11	17/26	45/32	7/10
	7/6	11/13	7/18	26/32	1/3
	3/4	8/15	2/16	51/36	16/14
	7/7	13/6	11/23	44/32	19/19
	7/9	13/10	18/14	50/36	3/4
	8/8	14/12	12/20	46/35	8/8
	7/7	17/14	10/17	52/40	6/6

*

2006–2013 .

**

2007–2013 .

2011 .,

20%,

20%.

2011 .

		I	II	I	II	I	II	
2006	0,36	8527	12158	0,26	0,00	0,74	1,00	11533
	7,06	1648	10741	0,84	0,01	0,16	0,99	10555
2007	0,57	11808	19244	0,36	0,00	0,64	1,00	18351
	9,19	2939	19421	0,85	0,004	0,15	0,996	18961
2008	0,46	14459	21114	0,37	0,07	0,63	0,93	22800
	8,51	3617	21844	0,83	0,02	0,17	0,98	22197
2009	0,55	12054	18673	0,40	0,06	0,61	0,94	19937
	6,92	3989	22745	0,81	0,01	0,19	0,99	22517
2010	0,59	12484	19836	0,40	0,05	0,60	0,95	20935
	8,40	4266	31532	0,83	0,01	0,17	0,99	31561
2011	0,64	13830	22633	0,44	0,08	0,56	0,92	24598
	8,68	4885	34121	0,83	0,01	0,17	0,99	34209
2012	0,64	14518	23798	0,40	0,02	0,60	0,98	24392
	7,79	5302	37601	0,83	0,003	0,17	0,997	36255
2013	0,67	15265	25517	0,44	0,06	0,56	0,94	29226
	7,87	6569	45511	0,83	0,01	0,17	0,99	45549
2014	0,54	15701	24237	0,37	0,03	0,63	0,97	25110
	6,91	5558	34619	0,83	0,02	0,16	0,98	35385

74%

,
 ,
 ,
 (25,01% 2006 .
 40,18% 2013 ., 2014 .
).
 , 2015 .
 2014 . [4], -

XI.173 (XI.173.1.2).
0325-2014-00014

1. . . // . . . -
 .-2013.- .13, .1.- .57-66.
2. . . // -
 .-2010.- .5.- .5-19.
3. . . : //
 .-2014.- .10.- .82-96.

-
4. URL: <http://www.lerc.ru/?part=articles&art=7&page=14> (22.09.2015).
5. // : . – 2013. – 32 (311). – . 3–13.
6. // . – 2012. – 2. – . 136–140.
- (,) – (630090, , 17, e-mail: stv@ieie.nsc.ru).

DOI: 10.15372/REG20160312

Region: Economics & Sociology, 2016, No. 3 (91), p. 219–237

T.V. Sumskaya

PROBLEMS OF BUDGET SUFFICIENCY OF NOVOSIBIRSK MEGALOPOLIS

The paper studies key fiscal indicators in cities with a population of over one million people and offers a technique to assess their budget and inter-governmental fiscal flows. With the said technique, the author calculates these indicators over the years 2006–2014 and assesses the structure of municipal budgets (considering both local tax and non-tax revenues and the dependence on regional grants). In addition, we examine the possibility to use budget resources in order to fund the main areas of municipal spending. The megalopolises in the Russian Federation have significantly lost their budgetary independence over the period of analysis. We investigate the stability of the budget for Novosibirsk megalopolis by applying the budgetary coefficients technique and demonstrate where Novosibirsk stands among the megalopolises of Russia and the municipalities of Novosibirsk Oblast.

Keywords: megalopolis; Novosibirsk; budget; budget coefficients; stability of the budget; budget structure assessment techniques

*The publication is prepared within the priority XI.173 (project No. XI.173.1.2)
according to the research plan of the IEIE SB RAS*

References

1. *Bufetova, A.N.* (2013). Struktura urbanizatsii i tendentsii vnutriregionalnoy differentsiatsii urovnya zhizni [Structure of urbanization and trends in intra-regional differentiation of standard of living]. Vestnik NGU. Seriya: Sotsialno-ekonomicheskie nauki [Vestnik of Novosibirsk State University. Series: Social and Economic Sciences], Vol. 13, Is. 1, 57–66.
2. *Zubarevich, N.V.* (2010). Goroda na postsotsialisticheskom prostranstve [Cities in the post-socialist space]. Obshchestvennye nauki i sovremennost [Social Studies and the Present], 5, 5–19.
3. *Kolomak, E.A.* (2014). Razvitie gorodskoy sistemy Rossii: tendentsii i faktory [Evolution of Russian urban system: tendencies and determinants]. Voprosy ekonomiki [Problems of Economics], 10, 82–96.
4. *Korchagin, Yu.* Byudzhetnaya neobespechennost rossiyskikh gorodov usilivaetsya [Russian cities' fiscal insecurity is going up]. Available at: <http://www.lerc.ru/?part=articles&art=7&page=14> (date of access: 22.09.2015).
5. *Krinichansky, K.V.* (2013). Sovremennyy rossiyskiy gorod v svete tendentsiy urbanisticheskogo mira [Contemporary Russian city in the light of urban world trends]. Regionalnaya ekonomika: teoriya i praktika [Regional Economics: Theory and Practice], 32 (311), 3–13.
6. *Chernyakov, M.K.* (2012). Investitsionnaya privlekatelnost Novosibirska: reytingovaya otsenka [Investment appeal of Novosibirsk: rating assessment]. Sibirskaya finansovaya shkola [Siberian School of Finance], 2, 136–140.

Information about the author

Sumskaya, Tatiana Vladimirovna (Novosibirsk, Russia) – Candidate of Sciences (Economics), Senior Researcher at the Institute of Economics and Industrial Engineering, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (17, Ac. Lavrentiev av., Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia, e-mail: stv@ieie.nsc.ru).

25.04.2016 .

© . ., 2016