

UDC 547.13:546.75:541.6

**CHARACTERIZATION OF AN INORGANIC-ORGANIC HYBRID  
POLYOXOMOLYBDATE (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[H<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>]·7H<sub>2</sub>O****M.M. Ftini**

*Laboratoire de matériaux et cristallographie (LMC), Département de chimie, Faculté des sciences Monastir, Tunisie*  
E-mail: mohamedmongi@yahoo.fr

*Received September, 7, 2014*

A novel inorganic-organic hybrid salt, hexanediammonium heptamolybdate (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[H<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>]·7H<sub>2</sub>O has been synthesized and characterized by elemental analyses, IR, TGA, cyclic voltammetry, UV and X-ray single crystal diffraction. It crystallizes in triclinic space group *P*-1 with  $a = 10.0619(2)$  Å,  $b = 12.059(2)$  Å,  $c = 20.044(3)$  Å,  $\alpha = 97.06(1)^\circ$ ,  $\beta = 91.56(1)^\circ$ ,  $\gamma = 110.59(2)^\circ$  and  $Z = 2$ . The crystal structure shows that seven MoO<sub>6</sub> distorted octahedra share edges which have four ranges of Mo—O bond distances. The heptamolybdate anion of the crystal has approximate *mm*2 point symmetry and its structure is similar to that observed in other heptamolybdates. The titled compound consists of the protonated hexanediamine cations and the inorganic [H<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>]<sup>4-</sup> oxomolybdate anions, linked by hydrogen-bonding interactions.

DOI: 10.15372/JSC20150821

**Keywords:** synthesis, polyoxometalate, organic-inorganic hybrid solids, cyclic voltammetry, UV visible spectrum.

**INTRODUCTION**

Polyoxometalates (POMs), are considered as important inorganic building blocks for the construction of supramolecular compounds. They have attracted considerable research interest in recent years because of the potential applications in diverse areas, such as biomedical chemistry, catalysis, magnetism, sorption, etc. [1—4]. One of the recent advances in POMs chemistry is preparation of a large number of POMs-based organic and inorganic hybrid materials having well-defined channels and cavities, which are important for materials chemistry [5—8].

In polyoxomolybdate chemistry, a wide variety of compounds, clusters and solid-state structures have been reported, but understanding the driving force for the formation of these high-nuclearity species is still a formidable challenge. Based on the self-assembly point of view, assumptions such as reduction-oxidation-reconstitution [9] and polymerization-reduction [10] have been presented, suggesting a two-step process: first, raw materials react with each other to give various building blocks; second, these building blocks assemble automatically under suitable conditions to form products. According to these assumptions, a few types of building blocks can be identified from a large number of polyoxomolybdates, and the same building block will prove to have various assembly types and produce various solid materials with 1D chain, 2D layer or 3D cationic framework structures [11—13]. A possible route to synthesize POM-supported transition metal complexes is to use organic amine cations (protonated amines) that stabilize the POM cluster anion with the assistance of supramolecular noncovalent interactions [14—18]. Several heptamolybdates that are charge balanced by inorganic

Table 1

*Crystal data and structure refinement for the compound* (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[H<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>]·7H<sub>2</sub>O

Empirical formula	(C <sub>6</sub> N <sub>2</sub> H <sub>18</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> [H <sub>2</sub> Mo <sub>7</sub> O <sub>24</sub> ]·7H <sub>2</sub> O
Formula weight	1420.16
Crystal system; space group	Triclinic; <i>P</i> -1
Cell parameters: <i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> , Å	10.062(2), 12.059(2), 20.044(3)
α, β, γ, deg.	97.06(1), 91.56(1), 110.59(2)
Z; <i>V</i> , Å <sup>3</sup>	2; 2252.9(7)
<i>D</i> <sub>c</sub> , g/cm <sup>3</sup>	2.093
Temperature, K	293(2)
Diffractometer	Enraf-Nonius CAD4
Monochromator	Graphite
Radiation	MoK <sub>α</sub> (λ = 0.71073 Å)
<i>hkl</i> range	-11 ≤ <i>h</i> ≤ 1, -13 ≤ <i>k</i> ≤ 14, -23 ≤ <i>l</i> ≤ 23
θ limit, deg.	2.17–24.97
Reflections collected / independent / observed	7944 / 7381 / 5333
Number of parameters	490
Goodness of fit	1.021
<i>R</i> <sub>1</sub> / <i>wR</i> <sub>2</sub> (obs)	0.0606 / 0.1662
Weighting scheme	$w = 1 / [\sigma^2( F_0 ^2) + (0.0835P)^2 + 18.1685P]$ , where $P = ( F_0 ^2 + 2 F_c ^2)/3$

$$R_1 = \sum(|F_0| - |F_c|) / \sum|F_0|, \quad wR_2 = [\sum w(|F_0| - |F_c|)^2 / \sum w|F_0|^2]^{1/2}.$$

counter ions have been synthesized and structurally characterized [19–31]. By using protonated hexanediamine as counter ions, we have successfully synthesized a new hybrid organic-inorganic compound in which hydrogen bonding of conventional O—H...O and N—H...O motifs has been the most commonly connection style between organic and inorganic molecular fragments.

#### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**Synthesis.** The compound (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[H<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>]·7H<sub>2</sub>O is prepared from using an aqueous solution of hexanediamine (1.4 mL dissolved in 20 mL of water) added slowly to a solution of ammonium molybdate (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>[Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>]·4H<sub>2</sub>O (3.52 g in 100 mL of water). The resulting mixture was stirred for 3 hours. The solution was kept for 20 days at ambient conditions, and then colorless block crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained.

The semi-quantitative energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analysis of one of the colorless crystals revealed the presence of Mo, C, N and O.

**Single-crystal X-ray diffraction.** The structure was solved by direct methods using the program SHELXS-97 [32] and refined by full-matrix least-squares based on *F*<sup>2</sup> with the program SHELXL-97 [33] included in the WINGX software package [34]. The crystal data and structure refinement are summarized in Table 1. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined, first with isotropic and finally anisotropic thermal displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms were introduced at calculated positions and included in the final refinement.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Crystal structures.** X-ray structural analysis reveals that a single crystal of the compound (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>[H<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>]·7H<sub>2</sub>O, is made up of a heptamolybdate anion, two protonated hexanediamine cations and seven lattice water molecules. The [Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>]<sup>6-</sup> anion is made up of seven distorted edge-sharing MoO<sub>6</sub> octahedra (Fig. 1). Due to the different coordination modes of oxygen atoms in the

Fig. 1. A view of the  $[\text{H}_2\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}]^{4-}$  anion with the atomic numbering scheme

polyanion units, the Mo—O bond lengths can be classified into four categories: Mo—O<sub>t</sub> (O<sub>t</sub>: the terminal oxygen (O(12), O(19), O(21), O(7), O(18), O(22), O(14), O(24), O(23), O(16), O(20), O(5))), 1.709(8)—1.741(8) Å; Mo—O<sub>2</sub> (O<sub>2</sub>: two-fold-coordinated oxygen (O(4), O(8), O(9), O(10), O(11), O(13), O(15), O(17))), 1.732(7)—2.503(7) Å; Mo—O<sub>3</sub> (O<sub>3</sub>: three-fold-coordinated oxygen (O(3), O(6))), 1.885(7)—2.285(7) Å; Mo—O<sub>4</sub> (O<sub>4</sub>: four-fold-coordinated oxygen (O(1), O(2))) 2.158(7)—2.272(7) Å. Nevertheless, the Mo(3)—O(10) and Mo(3)—O(13) distances are more typical of terminal oxygen bond lengths (1.739(8) Å and 1.732(7) Å) than the twofold-coordinated oxygen. Table 2 present selected bond distances for the title compound. All Mo centers have two terminal oxygen atoms except Mo(3) which locates in the cavity of the bowl-shaped  $[\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}]^{6-}$  cluster.




Table 2

Selected bond lengths and hydrogen-bonding parameters (Å)  
for the compound  $(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{18}\text{N}_2)_2[\text{H}_2\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}] \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$

Bonds	Distances	Bonds	Distances	D—H...A	d(D...A)
Mo1—O24	1.719(8)	Mo2—O12	1.721(9)	N1—H1A...OW4	2.820
Mo1—O14	1.725(9)	Mo2—O19	1.728(8)	N1—H1B...O16	2.771
Mo1—O11	1.927(7)	Mo2—O8	1.936(8)	N2—H2A...OW3	2.837
Mo1—O17	1.969(8)	Mo2—O4	1.993(8)	N2—H2B...OW1	2.794
Mo1—O1	2.189(7)	Mo2—O2	2.165(7)	N2—H2C...O5	2.919
Mo1—O6	2.286(8)	Mo2—O3	2.262(7)	N3—H3A...O15	2.920
Mo3—O13	1.731(8)	Mo4—O7	1.710(9)	N3—H3B...O24	2.822
Mo3—O10	1.739(8)	Mo4—O21	1.727(9)	N3—H3C...OW1	3.077
Mo3—O6	1.885(7)	Mo4—O8	1.947(8)	N4—H4A...O3	2.748
Mo3—O3	1.904(7)	Mo4—O9	1.994(8)	N4—H4B...O19	2.990
Mo3—O2	2.267(7)	Mo4—O1	2.156(7)	N4—H4C...O21	2.904
Mo3—O1	2.270(8)	Mo4—O3	2.279(8)	OW1—H1W1...O23	2.736
Mo5—O16	1.713(8)	Mo6—O5	1.710(8)	OW2—H1W2...O11	2.779
Mo5—O23	1.728(8)	Mo6—O20	1.721(9)	OW2—H2W2...O8	2.746
Mo5—O11	1.936(8)	Mo6—O15	1.922(8)	OW3—H1W3...OW2	2.809
Mo5—O15	1.983(8)	Mo6—O4	1.940(8)	OW3—H2W3...O13	2.839
Mo5—O2	2.208(7)	Mo6—O2	2.170(7)	OW5—H2W5...O22	2.822
Mo5—O6	2.246(7)	Mo6—O10	2.503(8)	OW6—H1W6...O14	2.989
Mo7—O18	1.724(9)	Mo7—O17	1.924(8)	OW6—H2W6...OW5	2.884
Mo7—O22	1.745(9)	Mo7—O1	2.172(7)	OW7—H1W7...O4	2.726
Mo7—O9	1.913(8)	Mo7—O13	2.493(9)		

D = donor, A = acceptor.





Fig. 2. A view of the layer forming the framework of  $(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{18}\text{N}_2)_2[\text{H}_2\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}] \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  compound showing the hydrogen bonding interactions between protonated hexanediamine and  $[\text{H}_2\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}]^{4-}$  polyanions. All lattice water molecules are omitted for clarity

which range from 1.709 Å to 1.726 Å. Bond valence sum calculations (BVS) [35] indicate that the oxygen atoms O(22) and O(23) are protonated. The same location of a hydrogen atom at a terminal oxygen atom was proposed in the structure of  $\text{Cs}_5\text{Mo}_8\text{O}_{24}(\text{OH})_2\text{AsO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  [36], and location at the triply bonded oxygen atom was proposed in the papers describing the structures of tetrakis(*n*-hexylammonium)dihydrogendecavanadate [37],  $[(\text{CH}_3)_4\text{N}]_4[\text{H}_2\text{MoV}_9\text{O}_{28}]\text{Cl} \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  [38] and  $(\text{H}_2\text{pip})_3 \times [\text{Co}_3\text{Mo}_{12}\text{O}_{24}(\text{OH})_6(\text{PO}_4)_8(\text{H}_{1.5}\text{pip})_4] \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$  [39], and in the structure of tetrakis-adenosinium dihydrogendecavanadate undecahydrate such protonation was assigned to the doubly-bridging oxygen atoms [40]. In the structure of the title compound,  $[\text{H}_2\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}]^{4-}$  anions connect to each other by a complex network of hydrogen-bonding interactions (Table 2). The protonated amine  $[\text{H}_3\text{N}-(\text{CH}_2)_6-\text{NH}_3]^{2+}$  is not only a component for charge compensation, but also building brick located between  $[\text{H}_2\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}]^{4-}$  polyanions, connected to the oxygen atoms of the heptamolybdate. The three-dimensional network is constructed from the association of layers parallel to the *ac* plane, these layers are made up by the  $[\text{H}_2\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}]^{4-}$  anions, and the organic cations which are linked together *via* N—H...O hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2). The organic cation links four polyanions by sharing of adjacent oxygen atoms, the —N(3)H<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> moiety is surrounded by two polyanions, and it makes two hydrogen bonds with the first polyanion by sharing two twofold-coordinated oxygen atoms O(15) and O(6), and one hydrogen bond with the second one by sharing a terminal oxygen atom O(24). The hydrogen bonds distances are 2.048 Å, 2.446 Å and 1.950 Å for N(3)—H(3A)...O(15), N(3)—H(3A)...O(6), and N(3)—H(3B)...O(24), respectively. The second —N(4)H<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> moiety has also three bonding interactions with two neighboring  $[\text{H}_2\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}]^{4-}$  polyanions by sharing two terminal oxygens O(19) and O(21) from the first polyanion, and one threefold coordinated oxygen atom O(3) from another polyanion. These hydrogen bonds are N(4)—H(4B)...O(19), N(4)—H(4C)...O(21), and N(4)—H(4A)...O(3) with bond length 2.257 Å, 2.105 Å, and 1.913 Å, respectively.

Fig. 3 shows the connection mode between successive layers, the organic molecule donates N—H bonds to form N—H...O hydrogen bonds with two surrounding  $[\text{H}_2\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24}]^{4-}$  anions from the first layer by the two terminal oxygen atoms O(18) and O(22) of the first polyanion, another polyanion of the first layer is linked to the same organic molecule by using terminal oxygen atom O(5). The hydrogen bonds are 2.600 Å for N(1)—H(1C)...O(18) bond, 2.474 Å for N(1)—H(1C)...O(22), and 2.113 Å for N(2)—H(2C)...O(5) bond. The polyanion of the second layer shares their terminal oxygen atoms O(5) and O(16) with the organic cation via hydrogen bonding interactions. Hydrogen bonds are 2.608 Å and 1.969 Å for N(1)—H(1B)...O(5) and N(1)—H(1B)...O(16) respectively.

The polyoxomolybdate anion is also hydrogen bonded to seven surrounding water molecules. There are two lattice water molecules, kind of which OW(2) and OW(4) are hydrogen-bonded to the same polyanion by sharing two oxygen atoms, and each of the other lattice water molecules, (OW(1), OW(3), OW(5), OW(6) and OW(7)) are hydrogen bonded to the polyanion by sharing an oxygen atom. Together with the hydrogen bonding between the organic molecules and polyanions the hydrogen bonding interactions with the lattice water molecules give more stability to the compound.

Fig. 3. Representation of the association mode of two consecutive layers in the structure of  $(C_6H_{18}N_2)_2 \cdot [H_2Mo_7O_{24}] \cdot 7H_2O$ . All lattice water molecules are omitted for clarity



**IR and thermal analyses.** The IR spectrum was recorded from a KBr pellet on a Bruker FT-IR spectrometer in the range  $4000\text{--}400\text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The IR spectrum shows characteristic vibrational features similar to the known  $[Mo_7O_{24}]^{6-}$  anions [41]. The stretching vibrations at  $894\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $564\text{ cm}^{-1}$  are attributed to asymmetric (Mo—O) and (Mo—O—Mo) vibration modes, respectively, and the peaks  $844\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $758\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $669\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $627\text{ cm}^{-1}$  are attributed to other symmetric vibration modes. The absorption bands in the range of  $1000\text{--}1650\text{ cm}^{-1}$  are mainly characteristic absorption bands of the protonated hexanediamine which can be assigned to the bending vibrations of the C—H, N—H and C—C groups. The broad bands at  $3356\text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $1615\text{ cm}^{-1}$  are associated with the water molecules and a band at  $3465\text{ cm}^{-1}$  is assigned to characteristic vibration modes of the hydroxyl groups of the  $[H_2Mo_7O_{24}]^{4-}$  anion [36].

The thermogravimetric analysis was performed in static air with a heating rate of  $5^\circ/\text{min}$  in the temperature range from ambient temperature to  $550^\circ\text{C}$ . The thermogravimetric analysis curve shows that the first weight loss is 1.4 % in the temperature range of  $100^\circ\text{C}$  to  $180^\circ\text{C}$ , corresponding to the loss of one crystal water molecules (cal. 1.3 %). The second weight loss is 5.2 % from  $230^\circ\text{C}$  to  $320^\circ\text{C}$ , assigned to the loss of two crystal water molecules (cal. 5.07%). In the range  $380\text{--}520^\circ\text{C}$ , a third weight loss of 19 % is observed corresponding to the loss of four crystal water molecules and one organic molecule (calc. 19.15 %).

**Electrochemical behavior.** The electrochemical behavior was studied by cyclic voltammetry in 1 M  $H_2SO_4$  aqueous solutions at different scan rates. Platinum electrodes were used as the working electrode and counter electrode, the reference electrode was an Ag/AgCl electrode. In potential range of  $+400\text{ mV}$  to  $-800\text{ mV}$  Fig. 4 shows two redox waves corresponding to two consecutive two-electron processes. The mean peak potentials  $E_{1/2} = (E_{pa} + E_{pc})/2$  negatively shifted to  $-760\text{ mV}$  and  $-400\text{ mV}$ . The two peaks may be attributed to the redox couple  $Mo^{VI/V}$  in the polyanion framework.




Fig. 4. Cyclic voltammograms of  $(C_6H_{18}N_2)_2[H_2Mo_7O_{24}] \cdot 7H_2O$  in  $H_2SO_4$  at Pt electrode and at different scan rates:  $20\text{ mV}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ,  $50\text{ mV}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ ,  $100\text{ mV}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$




Fig. 5. UV visible absorption spectrum of the compound  $(C_6H_{18}N_2)_2[H_2Mo_7O_{24}] \cdot 7H_2O$

When the scan rates varied from 20 mV/s to 100 mV/s, the peak potentials changed with increasing scan rates, the cathodic peak potentials shift towards the negative direction and the corresponding anodic peak potentials — to the positive direction. The peak currents are proportional to the scan rates, which indicates that the redox process on the electrode is surface controlled.

**UV-visible absorption spectrum.** The UV-visible absorption spectrum was recorded in the 190–800 nm range in aqueous solution ( $5 \cdot 10^{-4}$  M). The spectrum (Fig. 5) exhibits two absorptions bands at 217 nm and 243 nm. The higher energy band can be ascribed to the ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) from the terminal oxygen to molybdenum, whereas the lower energy band was attributed to the bridging oxygen to molybdenum atom [42–44].

### CONCLUSIONS

A novel hybrid organic-inorganic compound has been prepared. The structure has been elucidated by X-ray crystallography, IR, and TG analysis, cyclic voltammetry and UV visible spectrum. The assembly of heptamolybdate, hexanediamine, protons, and water molecules forms a 3D framework via hydrogen bonding interactions. The protonated hexanediamine connects polyanions by the terminal protonated amine groups to form a layer, and these layers are linked together by the organic cations *via* hydrogen bonds. IR spectrum exhibits the characteristic peaks of the polyanions, the OH group and the organic amine. In the TG analysis the total weight loss (25.6 %) is consistent with the calculated value (25.5 %).

The crystallographic data for the title compound were deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data centre: No. CCDC 887592. These data can be obtained via <http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk>.

### REFERENCES

1. Pope M.T. *Heteropoly and Isopoly Oxometalates*. – Springer-Verlag, 1983.
2. Wang X., Liu J., Li J., Yang Y., Liu J., Li B., Pope M.T. // *J. Inorg. Biochem.* – 2003. – **94**. – P. 279.
3. Sakamoto T., Pac C. // *Tetrahedron Lett.* – 2000. – **41**. – P. 1009.
4. Uchida S., Hashimoto M., Mizuno N. // *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* – 2002. – **41**. – P. 2814.
5. Cheetham A.K., Rao C.N.R. // *Chem. Commun.* – 2006. – P. 4780.
6. Dan M., Rao C.N.R. // *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* – 2006. – **45**. – P. 281.
7. Coronado E., Gomez-Garcia C.J. // *Chem. Rev.* – 1998. – **98**. – P. 273.
8. Backer L.C.W., Glick D.C. // *Chem. Rev.* – 1998. – **98**. – P. 3.
9. Zhang S.W., Wei Y.G., Yu Q., Shao M.C., Tang Y.Q. // *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* – 1997. – **119**. – P. 6440.
10. Wassermann K., Dickman M.H., Pope M.T. // *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* – 1997. – **36**. – P. 1445.
11. Yan B.B., Xu Y., Bu X.H., Goh N.K., Chia L.S., Stucky G.D. // *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* – 2001. – P. 2009.
12. Johnson B.J.S., Geers S.A., Brennessel W.W., Young V.G. Jr., Stein A. // *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* – 2003. – P. 4678.
13. Li Y.G., Hao N., Wang E.B., Yuan M., Hu C.W., Hu N.H., Jia H.Q. // *Inorg. Chem.* – 2003. – **42**. – P. 2729.
14. Xu Y., Xu J.-Q., Zhang K.-L., Zhang Y., You X.-Z. // *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* – 2000. – **2**. – P. 153.
15. Martin C., Lamonier C., Fournier M., Mentré O., Harlé V., Guillaume D., Payen E. // *Inorg. Chem.* – 2004. – **43**. – P. 4636.
16. Reinoso S., Vitoria P., Lezama L., Luque A., Gutiérrez-Zorrilla J.M. // *Inorg. Chem.* – 2003. – **42**. – P. 3709.
17. Yang W.-B., Lu C.-Z., Lin X., Zhuang H.-H. // *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* – 2002. – **14**. – P. 2879.
18. Wu C.-D., Lu C.Z., Lin X., Huang J.-S. // *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* – 2002. – **5**. – P. 664.
19. Qu X., Xu L., Yang Y., Li F., Guo W., Jia L., Liu X. // *Struct. Chem.* – 2008. – **19**. – P. 801.
20. Evans H.T., Gatehouse B.M., Leverett P. // *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* – 1975. – P. 505.
21. Sjoebom K., Hedman B. // *Acta Chem. Scand.* – 1973. – **27**. – P. 3673.
22. Khazheeva Z.I., Khaikina E.G., Khal'baeva K.M., Shibanova T.A., Molchanov V.N., Simonov V.I. // *Kristallografiya*. – 2000. – **45**. – P. 996.
23. Khazheeva Z.I., Khaikina E.G., Khal'baeva K.M., Shibanova T.A., Molchanov V.N., Simonov V.I. // *Crystallogr. Rep.* – 2000. – **45**. – P. 916.
24. Kortz U., Pope M.T. // *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*. – 1995. – **51**. – P. 1717.
25. Wutkowski A., Srinivasan B.R., Naik A., Schütt R.C., Näther C., Bensch W. // *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* – 2011. – P. 2254.

26. *Pavani K., Ramanan A.* // *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* – 2005. – P. 3080.
27. *Li T., Lu J., Gao S., Cao R.* // *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* – 2007. – **10**. – P. 1342.
28. *Roman P., Gutierrez-Zorrilla J.M., Luque A., Martinez-Ripoll M.* // *J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res.* – 1988. – **18**. – P. 117.
29. *Arumuganathan T., Srinivasarao A., Kumar T.V., Das S.K.* // *J. Chem. Sci.* – 2008. – **120**. – P. 95.
30. *Gili P., Lorenzo-Luis P.A., Mederos A., Arrieta J.M., Germain G., Castineiras A., Carballo R.* // *Inorg. Chim. Acta.* – 1999. – **295**. – P. 106.
31. *Yamase T.* // *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* – 1991. – P. 3055.
32. *Sheldrick G.M.* SHELXS97, Program for Crystal Structure Solution. – Germany: University of Gottingen, 1997.
33. *Sheldrick G.M.* SHELXL97, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement. – Germany: University of Gottingen, 1997.
34. *Farrugia L.J.* // *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* – 1999. – **32**. – P. 837.
35. *Brown I.D., Altermatt D.* // *Acta Crystallogr.* – 1985. – **B 41**. – P. 244.
36. *Kuei-Fang H., Sue-Lein W.* // *Inorg. Chem.* – 1997. – **36**. – P. 3049.
37. *Roman P., Aranzabe A., Luque A., Gutierrez-Zorrilla J.M., Martinez-Ripoll M.* // *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* – 1995. – P. 2225.
38. *Strukan N., Cindrid M., Kamenar B.* // *Polyhedron.* – 1997. – **16**. – P. 629.
39. *Fa-Nian S., Filipe A., Almeida P., Penka I., Joao R., Vitor S.* // *J. Solid State Chem.* – 2006. – **179**. – P. 1497.
40. *Cappare M.V., Goodgame D.M., Hayman P.B., Skapski A.C.* // *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* – 1986. – P. 776.
41. *Evans H.T., Gatehouse B.M., Leverett P.* // *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* – 1975. – P. 505.
42. *Yamase T.* // *Chem. Rev.* – 1998. – **98**. – P. 307.
43. *Zhang X.M., Shen B.Z., You X.Z., Fun H.K.* // *Polyhedron.* – 1997. – **16**. – P. 95.
44. *Gong Y., Hu C., Li H., Tang W., Huang K., Hou W.* // *J. Mol. Struct.* – 2006. – **784**. – P. 228 – 238.