

, 2017, 3 (95), . 115–145

• • , • • , • • , • •

:

, () -
- , -

, -
- , -

, -
- , -

, -
- , -

, -
- , -

, -
- , -

, -
- , -

, -
- , -

, -
- , -

(,)

[8].

,
c
(
,

,
«»,
,

,
»,
«
,

[3; 13].

(),

« ».

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

75%

90,3%

1991 .() [4; 12],

1990-

1980-

1,3

5 (. 1).

XXI .

70%

2001 . 1 ,

1 ..
2006–2010: . . / . – , 2011.

	1987	2014
,	7801,0	5824,7
,	2315,8	435,2
,	759,0	479,7
,	302,6	104,1
,	3663,3	503,0
,	3355,1	538,1

: . . . - , 1988. -
 . 69, 80, 82; -
 : C . . . - , 2015. - . 86, 95, 97-99.

8 , 2014 . -
 2,7 .

[2, . 78].

,
 :
 (6) (. . . 1). (. . . 7), (. . .).

[10].

(. . .).



, %
 : . . – , 2010. – . 9;
 : . . – , 2014. – . 14;
 2009–2014 : . . – , 2010. – . 10.
 , 2016. – . 13;

,
 –
 – 418 2006 . 1173 2016 .²
 –
 – ,
 – , 253
 29 ,
 1 –
 2016 .,

² URL: <http://www.governance/news/2016/10/10/41850.html>.

2000-

» —

« ». —

« »),

« »).

2016–2017

2016
41%

1,1

³

³ URL: <https://www.chita.ru/news/100811/>.

, 2017 . -
« », 4 .

2017 . 40%
30%. 350
, . . 3

(. , .),
2017 . .

⁴ URL: <https://www.chita.ru/news/99370/>.

25

2030

2017

2016

,
,

70,5%,
90%,
– 70%.
– 55%,
100%»⁵.

2017 .

,
2016 .

31

, 13

30

,
5

987,7

,
.

, 2016 .

19,1

2017–2020 .

24 34

,
6.

,
3,5
(2,5) , , 1) , 5

⁵ URL: <https://www.chita.ru/news/100016/>.

⁶ URL: https://www.chita.ru/news/96454/#to_marker.

		30	.	..	
24,5	.	..,		-	
6,6	.	.		-	
,	.	.		-	
,	.	.		-	
				«	-
2014–2020	»			«	
,					
		2014–2020		».	
30%					
,				-	
,				-	
,				-	
,				-	
,				-	
,				-	
2017	.	10%-			
.	2016	.,			
,			,		
724,05			591,74		
647,412			– 132,31		
			7	2017	.

⁷ URL: <http://mcx.ru/activity/state-support/funding/> .

26%
(439,022 .), , ,
1,5 (208,39 .).⁸

2018 .

,
5–6 (,
,

).
-

,

,

,

, 1 2016 .,

: « -
2017 2018–2019 40%
»⁹,
,

—
15%,

⁸ URL: <http://gp.specagro.ru/region/15789406/2/4/5/2017> .

⁹ URL: <https://www.chita.ru/news/95100/> .

?

,

?

-

,

,

?

,

.

(

)

:

,

,

.

.

.

.

.

,

(

,

)

,

,

,

,

,

:

— 2017 .

(,
),
,
—
(,
)
:
,

(,
),

, - , , , - , , , -

10 (38 .), -

(35 .). -

(16 .). -

40 , 17 (, , .), 21 - - -

10 , - , , -

(18 .) - , , , -

, , , , , , -

, , , , , , -

(, , ,) (, , ,) (, , ,) , -

: , , , , , -

, , , , , , -

(, , ,) . - , , , -

: , , , , , -

(, 80%) , , , , -

, , , , , -

, , , , , -

: 90% 10 , , -

,

: ,

, ,

, —

,

, ,

, ,

, ,

()

,

,

, ;

, ,

; ,

,

()

; ,

, —

, ,

, ,

, . . ó

, ,

?

(. 2).

, ,
,
,
,
,
,
,
2
,

, 2017 „N = 40 „, 100%

:	6	38
	53	38
	41	24
:	6	14
	12	53
	82	33
:	6	24
	41	38
	53	38

(. 3),

(80%)

3

2017 .

	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-
	, %		, %	
	94	1	86	1
	71	4-5	71	2
	82	2	67	3
	77	3	57	4
	71	4-5	43	5
	24		29	
	12		29	
	-		24	
	24		10	
	18		5	
	12		14	
	12		10	

(, ,),

(,) , , . .),

?

11,

(. . . 3).

,
,

,

,

(. 4).

,

,

«
».

,

,

,

, <

4

2017 .,		,
		, %
	88	81
	53	67
	53	52
	47	29
	41	38
	35	52
	18	19
	6	29

».

Ó

— «

» «

».

«

».

«

» «

».

»

,

,

-

,

-

,

-

, —

-

,

-

,

-

, —

-

(

)

,

,

-

* * *

(

)

)

(

,

,

,

,

,

,

—

,

,

,

«

» , (,).
 , ,
 , ,
()
() ,
() .
, ,
,
,
,
,
,
,
,
,
,
[11].

« »
 (0325-2015-0018
 0386-2015-0001)
 ;

- 1. ; . . . - . : , 2015. - . 1. - 551 .
- 2. XXI : 6 . . 6.: /
- ; , -
- , 2016. - 396 .
- 3. . . , . . . « ? // . - 2015. - . 7. - . 52-72.
- 4. . . , . . . , . . . - / . - 2015. -
- . 2, 5-6. - . 18-33.
- 5. . . , . . . (. . .) // :
 . - 2016. - 4 (92). - . 87-112.
- 6. . . . // . - 2017. - 1 (511). - . 20-38.
- 7. . . . ;
 // : . - 2015. - 3 (87). - . 88-122.
- 8. . - ; . . . , . - : - / . . .
 . . . , - : - , -
- 2016. - 487 .
- 9. . . . ; . . . // : . . . -
- 2016. - 1 (89). - . 108-134.
- 10. ? (. . .) // . - 2014. - 11 (485). - . 36-54.
- 11. *Dong S., Li Z., Li Y. et al.* Resources, environment and economic patterns and sustainable development modes of the Silk Road Economic Belt // Journal of Resources and Ecology. - 2015. - Vol. 6 (2). - P. 65-72.
- 12. *Glazyrina I.P., Faleichik L.M., Yakovleva K.A.* Socioeconomic effectiveness and «green» growth of regional forest use // Geography and Natural Resources. - 2015. - Vol. 36, Iss. 4. - P. 327-334.
- 13. *Glazyrina I.P., Zabelina I.A.* The Silk Road Economic Belt and Green Growth in the East of Russia // Journal of Resources and Ecology. - 2016. - Vol. 7 (5). - P. 342-351.

(,) –
, (630090, –
, 17, e-mail: bogtan@rambler.ru); –
(630090, , . , 2). –
(,) –
, (630090, –
, 17, e-mail: fadeeva@ieie.nsc.ru); –
(630090, , . , 2). –
(,) –
, (672014, , . ,
16 , e-mail: lfaleychik@bk.ru); –
(672039, , . , – , 30). –
(,) –
, (672014, , . ,
, 16 , e-mail: gorina08@yandex.ru).

DOI: 10.15372/REG20170306

Region: Economics & Sociology, 2017, No. 3 (95), p. 115–145

T.Yu. Bogomolova, O.P. Fadeeva, L.M. Faleychik, K.V. Shvorina

REINDUSTRIALIZATION OF THE RURAL ECONOMY IN TRANSBAIKAL: TRAPS AND OPPORTUNITIES

The article considers the conditions, peculiarities, and opportunities for the reindustrialization (new industrialization) of the rural economy in Zabaykalskiy

Krai (Transbaikal). We analyze the effects of the collapse of the Soviet agrarian development model in remote Russian regions, which, inter alia, led to a degraded Transbaikal agri-food sector and chronic losses for local agricultural producers. The article describes the traps standing in the way of sustainable rural development associated with the lack of efficient overcoming mechanisms, regularly arising negative natural and climatic phenomena, investment activity encouragement, and competitive advantages. Based on a sociological survey, we present a spectrum of agribusiness community's opinions on the existing problems of the agricultural sector, urgent trends, ways for its improvement, and reforming organizational and financial conditions that would give impetus to agribusiness development in Zabaykalskiy Krai. The article contemplates two approaches to the reindustrialization of the agro-industrial complex in Transbaikal. The first approach presupposes an emphasis on attracting large producers, capable of carrying out «industrialization-type» investment projects, which reduce the dependence on unfavorable natural conditions, at their own expense and with state support. The second approach relies on the advantages of a multi-structural economy and the creation of mechanisms for the integration of agro-industrial enterprises of various levels and sizes (small, medium, and large) into economic (internal and external) space.

Keywords: Zabaykalskiy Krai; reindustrialization; agri-food sector; small agribusiness; rural development; cross-border relations

The publication is prepared within the subprogram of the Complex Program for Basic Research of the SB RAS «Integration and development» (project No. 0325-2015-0018 IEIE SB RAS and project No. 0386-2015-0001 INREC SB RAS)

References

1. Bodrunov, S.D. (2015). Formirovaniye strategii reindustrializatsii Rossii: V 2 ch. 2-e izd., pererab. i dop. Ch. 1 [Formation of strategy of re-industrialization of Russia. In two parts. Ed. 2, upd. and rev. Part 1]. Saint-Petersburg, Vitte Institute of New Industrial Development Publ., 551.

-
2. *Plyusnin, V.M., L.M. Korytny & A.K. Tulokhonov* (Eds.). (2016). *Geografiya Sibiri v nachale XXI veka: v 6 t. T. 6. Vostochnaya Sibir* [Geography of Siberia in the Early 21st Century: in six volumes. Vol. 6. Eastern Siberia]. Novosibirsk, Geo Academic Publ., 396.
3. *Glazyrina, I.P. & E.A. Simonov*. (2015). *Ekologicheskaya «tsivilizatsiya» Kitaya: novye vyzovy ili novye perspektivy dlya Rossii?* [«Chinese Environmental Civilization»: the new challenges or the new opportunities for Russia?]. EKO, 7, 52–72.
4. *Glazyrina, I.P., K.A. Yakovleva & N.V. Zhadina*. (2015). *Sotsialno-ekonomicheskaya effektivnost lesopolzovaniya v regionakh Rossii* [Social and economic effectiveness of forest use in Russian regions]. *Regionalistika* [Regionalistics], Vol. 2, No. 5-6, 18–33.
5. *Zhdan, G.V., I.V. Shchetinina & Yu.P. Voronov*. (2016). *Reindustrializatsiya ekonomiki selskikh territoriy (na primere Novosibirskoy oblasti)* [The reindustrialization of rural economies (case study of Novosibirsk Oblast)]. *Region: ekonomika i sotsiologiya* [Region: Economics and Sociology], 4 (92), 87–112.
6. *Kalugina, Z.I., V.I. Nefedkin & O.P. Fadeeva*. (2017). *Drayvery i baryery selskoy reindustrializatsii* [Drivers and barriers to rural reindustrialization]. EKO, 1 (511), 20–38.
7. *Kuleshov, V.V. & V.E. Seliverstov*. (2015). *Programma reindustrializatsii ekonomiki Novosibirskoy oblasti: ideologiya razrabotki i osnovnye napravleniya realizatsii* [Program for Reindustrialization of the Economy of Novosibirsk Oblast: ideology and main directions for its implementation]. *Region: ekonomika i sotsiologiya* [Region: Economics and Sociology], 3 (87), 88–122.
8. *Novoselov, A.S. & V.E. Seliverstov* (Eds.). (2016). *Regionalnoe i munitsipalnoe upravlenie: diagnostika, planirovanie i monitoring sotsialno-ekonomiceskogo razvitiya regionov Sibiri* [Regional and Municipal Management: Diagnostics, Planning, and Monitoring of Socio-Economic Development of Siberian Regions]. Novosibirsk, Institute of Economics and Industrial Engineering SB RAS Publ., 487.
9. *Seliverstov, V.E.* (2016). *Programma reindustrializatsii ekonomiki Novosibirskoy oblasti: osnovnye itogi razrabotki* [Program for Reindustrialization of the Economy of Novosibirsk Oblast: main outcomes of its development]. *Region: ekonomika i sotsiologiya* [Region: Economics and Sociology], 1 (89), 108–134.
10. *Fadeeva, O.P.* (2014). *Prigranichnye selskie territorii Zabaykalya: razvitiye ili degradatsiya? (zametki sotsiologa)* [Border rural areas of Transbaikalia: Development or degradation? (notes of sociologist)]. EKO, 11 (485), 36–54.
11. *Dong, S., Z. Li, Y. Li et al.* (2015). Resources, environment and economic patterns and sustainable development modes of the Silk Road Economic Belt. *Journal of Resources and Ecology*, 6(2), 65–72.

-
- :
12. *Glazyrina, I.P., L.M. Faleichik & K.A. Yakovleva.* (2015). Socioeconomic effectiveness and «green» growth of regional forest use. *Geography and Natural Resources*, Vol. 36, Is. 4, 327–334.
 13. *Glazyrina, I.P. & I.A. Zabelina.* (2016). The Silk Road Economic Belt and green growth in the east of Russia. *Journal of Resources and Ecology*, 7(5), 342–351.

Information about the authors

Bogomolova, Tatyana Yurievna (Novosibirsk, Russia) – Candidate of Sciences (Sociology), Head of Department at the Institute of Economics and Industrial Engineering, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (17, Ac. Lavrentiev av., Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia, e-mail: bogtan@rambler.ru); Associate Professor at Novosibirsk National Research State University (2, Pirogov st., Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia).

Fadeeva, Olga Petrovna (Novosibirsk, Russia) – Candidate of Sciences (Sociology), Senior Researcher at the Institute of Economics and Industrial Engineering, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (17, Ac. Lavrentiev av., Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia, e-mail: fadeeva@ieie.nsc.ru); Associate Professor at Novosibirsk National Research State University (2, Pirogov st., Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia).

Faleychik, Larisa Mikhaylovna (Chita, Russia) – Candidate of Sciences (Engineering), Senior Researcher at the Institute of Natural Resources, Ecology and Cryology, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (16a, Nedorezov st., Chita, 672014, Russia, e-mail: lfaleychik@bk.ru); Associate Professor at Transbaikal State University (30, Aleksandro-Zavodskaya st., Chita, 672039, Russia).

Shvorina, Kseniya Vladimirovna (Chita, Russia) – Candidate of Sciences (Geography), Junior Researcher at the Institute of Natural Resources, Ecology and Cryology, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (16a, Nedorezov st., Chita, 672014, Russia, e-mail: gorina08@yandex.ru).

27.06.2017 .

© , 2017