

() , -
-

() [3].
,

,

(

, - ,) ,
,

,

,

[4]. ,
-

.

.

[1].

:

.

.

.

.

,

,

.



-

2000

849 «

».

-

-

, -

-

: 1)

; 2)

-

-

,

,

.

-

-

-

()

-

-

:

-

.

,

.

,

.

-

,

,

-

. 1.

,

	()	
<p style="text-align: right;">-</p> <p>, -</p> <p>, -</p> <p>, -</p> <p>;</p> <p>, -</p> <p>-</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">,</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p> <p>,</p> <p>, -</p> <p>-</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">-</p> <p>,</p> <p>,</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">-</p> <p>, -</p> <p>;</p> <p>-</p> <p>, -</p> <p>-</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">,</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p> <p>,</p> <p>, -</p> <p>, -</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">-</p> <p>,</p> <p>,</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">-</p> <p>, -</p> <p>-</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">,</p> <p>-</p> <p>,</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">-</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p>

-	-	
-	-	,
-	-	,
-	-	-

.....

1980- 2001 .

.....

2001 ., « »

.....

2004 .

.....

，	-
．	-
，	-
，	-
，	-
[2]．	-
，	-
，	-
，	-
，	-
，	-
．	-
，	-
，	-
，	-
，	-
．	-
），	-
，	-
，	-
，	-
，	-
，	-
，	-
，	-
．	-
2007 ．	-
，	-
．	-
，	-
，	-
，	-
，	-
，	-
，	-
，	-
．	-
．	-
．	-
．	-
．	-
．	-

« » -
- ».
.....
(« », -
.....) -
, 2014 . -
..... , , -
..... , -
..... (-
-) -
..... , -
..... , -
..... , -
..... , -
(.....), -
- -
.....), -
..... , -
..... 2012 . -
..... , -
..... , -
..... : 2012 . -
..... , 2014 . -

. : -
- : -
(2013 . -
, ' , ' -
2007 .), -
(1998 2004 .), -
(2014 .), -
(2015 ., - -
, -
(), 2015 .). -
, , , -
(- -
) -
- . -
, [6]. -
-
-
, , , -
, -
, -
-
-
:

[7].

[10].

(Appalachian Regional Commission), 1965.

[8].

[9].

Схема 1




Схема 4




Схема 2




Схема 5




Схема 3





Схема 6



， -
 . -
 ， -
 . -
 2015 .

， -
 : -
 .

3 . -

， -
 . -

， -
 ， -

， -

， -

， -

. [5] -

， -
 ， -

， -

(-

). -

， -
 -

(4).

,

,

).

),

6

,

,

.

,

.2.

1	,	- (-)
2		.
3	.	-
	,	-
4	,	-
		-
5		-
		-
6		-
		.
		-
		« »
		-

2. ... // -
 3. ... – 2015. – 2. – . 24–29. : 20 -
 4. ... , 2015. – 392 c. : -
 5. ... , 2012. – 368 c. « -
- ... » // -
- ... – 2014. – 9. – . 20–29.

6. *Blatter J.* From «spaces of place» to «spaces of flows»? Territorial and functional governance in cross-border regions in Europe and North America // *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*. – 2004. – No. 28 (3). – P. 530–548.

7. *Bryden P.E.* Limits of national policy: integrating regional development into the federal agenda // *American Review of Canadian Studies*. – 2007. – No. 37 (4). – P. 475–491.

8. *Lawson G.* Territorial governments and the limits of formalism // *California Law Review*. – 1990. – No. 4 (78). – P. 853–911.

9. *Parr J.B.* Neglected aspects of regional policy: a retrospective view // *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*. – 2015. – No. 33 (2). – P. 376–392.

10. *Ray M.D., Lamarche R.H., MacLachlan I.R.* Restoring the «regional» to regional policy: a regional typology of Western Canada // *Canadian Public Policy: Analyse de Politiques*. – 2013. – No. 39 (3). – P. 411–429.

(,) – -
 ,
 (119571, , . , 82,
 e-mail: klimanov@ranepa.ru).

(,) – . -
 (119571, , . , 82, e-mail:
 ivasko-ev@ranepa.ru).

(,) – -
 (125009, , .
 ., 1/12, . 2, e-mail: amk@irof.ru).

V.V. Klimanov, E.V. Ivasko, A.M. Korotkikh

A PRACTICE OF INTRODUCING THE TERRITORIAL APPROACH TO THE SYSTEM OF PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION IN THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION

The article analyzes the territorial approach in public administration at the federal level. We summarize the work of federal authorities to choose an optimal system for their interaction. Following the best international and Russian practices, we construct functional flow block diagrams that demonstrate the interactions between executive bodies in different regions. The article proves that a methodological foundation for synchronizing the sectoral and territorial approaches to public administration in the Russian Federation has not been formed; among the reasons is the lack of prioritization in the use of mechanisms of sectoral and territorial development scenarios. We consider it expedient to establish specialized regulatory bodies at the federal level that would operate exclusively within the territorial approach. From this perspective, in order to achieve greater performance of federal executive authorities, there is a need to adjust their existing functionality in accordance with the proposed optimal interaction scheme.

Keywords: regional policy; system of public administration; territorial approach in public administration

References

1. *Klimanov, V.V. (Ed.). (2016). Gosudarstvennye programmy razvitiya makro-regionov Rossii: podkhody k analizu [State programs of macro-regions development of Russia: Approaches to the analysis]. Moscow, Lenand Publ., 194.*
2. *Klimanov, V.V. & A.A. Mikhaylova. (2015). Sinkhronizatsiya dokumentov strategicheskogo planirovaniya v regionakh i munitsipalitetakh [Synchronization of strategic planning documents in regions and municipalities]. Problemy teorii i praktiki upravleniya [Theoretical and Practical Aspects of Management], 2, 24–29.*

3. *Kuznetsova, O.V.* (2015). *Regionalnaya politika Rossii. 20 let reform i novye vozmozhnosti* [Regional Policy of Russia: 20 Years of Reforms and New Opportunities]. Moscow, Librokom Publ., 392.

4. *Leksin, V.N. & A.N. Shvetsov.* (2012). *Gosudarstvo i regiony. Teoriya i praktika regulirovaniya territorialnogo razvitiya* [State and Regions. Theory and Practice of Governmental Control of Territorial Development]. Moscow, Librokom Publ., 368.

5. *Yagovkina, V.A.* (2014). *O primeneni norm Federalnogo zakona «O strategicheskom planirovani v Rossiyskoy Federatsii»* [On application of the norms of the Federal Law «On strategic planning in the Russian Federation»]. *Praktika munitsipalnogo upravleniya* [Practice of Municipal Administration], 9, 20–29.

6. *Blatter, J.* (2004). From «Spaces of Place» to «Spaces of Flows»? Territorial and functional governance in cross-border regions in Europe and North America. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 28(3), 530–548.

7. *Bryden, P.E.* (2007). Limits of national policy: Integrating regional development into the federal agenda. *American Review of Canadian Studies*, 37(4), 475–491.

8. *Lawson, G.* (1990). Territorial governments and the limits of formalism. *California Law Review*, 4 (78), 853–911.

9. *Parr, J.B.* (2015). Neglected aspects of regional policy: a retrospective view. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 33(2), 376–392.

10. *Ray, M.D., R.H. Lamarche & I.R. MacLachlan.* (2013). Restoring the «regional» to regional policy: A regional typology of Western Canada. *Canadian Public Policy. Analyse de Politiques*, 39 (3), 411–429.

Information about the authors

Klimanov, Vladimir Viktorovich (Moscow, Russia) – Doctor of Sciences (Economics), Head of Chair at the School of Public Policy, Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration (82, Vernadsky av., Moscow, 119571, Russia, e-mail: klimanov@ranepa.ru).

Ivasko, Evgeniya Viktorovna (Moscow, Russia) – Postgraduate at the Russian Presidential Academy of National Economy and Public Administration (82, Vernadsky av., Moscow, 119571, Russia, e-mail: ivasko-ev@ranepa.ru).

Korotkikh, Anna Maratovna (Moscow, Russia) – Analyst at the Institute for Public Finance Reform (bld. 2, 1/12, Bolshoy Kislovskiy la., Moscow, 125009, Russia, e-mail: amk@irof.ru).

29.12.2016 .

©, 2017