2015. Том 56, № 4

Июль

C. 780 – 785

UDC 548.73:541.49:546.92

STRUCTURAL AND MASS SPECTROMETRIC CHARACTERISATION OF THE DINUCLEAR PLATINUM(II) SELENIDO-PHENYLSELENOLATO COMPLEX [Pt₂(µ-Se)(µ-SePh)(PPh₃)₄]PF₆

R.G. Fortney-Zirker, W. Henderson, B.K. Nicholson

Department of Chemistry, University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand E-mail: w.henderson@waikato.ac.nz

Received November, 27, 2013

The reaction of $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)_2(PPh_3)_4]$ with diphenyliodonium bromide gave $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)(\mu-SePh) \cdot (PPh_3)_4]^+$ through arylation of a selenide ligand. The complex is characterised by ³¹P NMR spectroscopy, elemental microanalysis, ESI mass spectrometry, and a single crystal X-ray diffraction study, which showed the four-membered {Pt_2Se_2} ring to be slightly puckered.

DOI: 10.15372/JSC20150417

Keywords: platinum complexes, dinuclear complexes, selenide ligand, phenylselenolato ligand, arylation, crystal structure, electrospray mass spectrometry.

Dinuclear platinum(II) sulfide complex $[Pt_2(\mu-S)_2(PPh_3)_4]$ **1a** [1] and analogues with alternative phosphine [2, 3] or arsine [4] ligands have been extensively investigated over a considerable length of time [5] because of the rich and diverse chemistry they display. Reactivity includes alkylation [6, 7] and arylation [8, 9] reactions (generating platinum-thiolate complexes), redox chemistry [10, 11], metalloligand chemistry (whereupon one or both sulfide ligands coordinate to metal centres generating sulfide-bridged homo- [12] and hetero- [13] metallic aggregates), and interesting ligand-based reactivity [14].

In contrast, the chemistry of selenide analogue $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)_2(PPh_3)_4]$ **1b** [15] is less well established, though aspects of the alkylation [16, 17] and coordination [18] chemistry of $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)_2 \cdot (PPh_3)_4]$ have been briefly investigated. The relative lack of studies on $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)_2(PPh_3)_4]$ is noteworthy since it has shown some different reactivity to the sulfide analogue, such as metal-scrambling reactions [19], metal-chalcogen bond cleavage leading to the synthesis of organoselenoethers [20], and the synthesis of interesting metal-selenide aggregates [21].

To date, there are no reports of the reactivity of $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)_2(PPh_3)_4]$ towards arylating reagents leading to arylselenolate complexes. Complexes of selenolate ligands have been extensively studied, including dinuclear complexes with bridging selenolate ligands [22]. In light of the perceived undesirability of handling organoselenols such as PhSeH due to their toxicity, air-sensitivity and disagreeable stench, we have investigated the arylation chemistry of $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)_2(PPh_3)_4]$ as a convenient route for the synthesis of dinuclear platinum complexes containing the phenylselenolate ligand.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials and methods. Electrospray mass spectra were recorded in a dichloromethanemethanol solution using a Bruker MicrOTOF instrument, periodically calibrated using sodium formate, and employing a capillary exit voltage of 150 V for routine spectra, but higher voltages to inves-

[©] Fortney-Zirker R.G., Henderson W., Nicholson B.K., 2015

tigate fragmentation behaviour. ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance spectrometer operating at 162 MHz, and the spectra were referenced relative to external H₃PO₄. UV-visible spectra were recorded in a CH2Cl2 solution on a Perkin Elmer Lambda 11 spectrophotometer. [Pt2(µ-Se)₂(PPh₃)₄ [23] and Ph₂IBr [24] were prepared by the literature procedures. NH₄PF₆ was used as supplied by Aldrich. Reactions were carried out in a reagent grade methanol solvent, without additional purification or precautions to exclude air, light or moisture.

Preparation. $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)_2(PPh_3)_4]$ (315 mg, 0.197 mmol) and Ph₂IBr (76 mg, 0.211 mmol) were suspended in methanol (35 ml) and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 72 h, giving a clear deep orange-brown solution. After filtration to remove traces of insoluble matter, NH₄PF₆ (400 mg, 2.45 mmol) was added to the stirred filtrate, giving an orange-brown precipitate. The solid was filtered, washed with water (2×5 ml) and dried under vacuum to give $2 \cdot PF_6$ as a brown solid (250 mg, 70 %). M.p. > 300 °C (decomp.). UV-vis (CH₂Cl₂) λ_{max} 430 nm (w), <360 nm (vs). ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃), δ 23.6 (m, ¹J(PtP) 3320 Hz, PPh₃ trans to SePh) and 23.5 (m, ¹J(PtP) 2740 Hz, PPh₃ *trans* to Se). ESI MS (positive ion), m/z 1675.17.

Structure determination. The compound was recrystallised from chloroform-diethyl ether, giving dark orange-brown single crystals of the tris-chloroform solvate suitable for an X-ray diffraction study. Found: C 46.24, H 3.14. C₇₈H₆₅F₆P₅Pt₂Se₂·3CHCl₃ requires C 44.66, H 3.15 %. Crystal data are summarised in Table 1. Data were collected on a Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer and processed using the standard software. Absorption corrections were carried out using SADABS [25]. The structure was solved using SHELX-97 and refined on F^2 using SHELXL-97 [26] operated under WinGX [27]. All non-hydrogen atoms were treated anisotropically and hydrogen atoms were included with a riding model with d(C - H) 0.95 Å, $U_{iso} = 1.2U_{eo}(C)$ for aromatic hydrogen atoms. The complex crys-

Table 1

| $[Pt_{2}(\mu-Se)(\mu-SePh)(PPh_{3})_{4}]PF_{6}\cdot 3CHCl_{3} (2\cdot PF_{6}\cdot 3CHCl_{3})$ | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Empirical formula | C ₈₁ H ₆₈ Cl ₉ F ₆ P ₅ Pt ₂ Se ₂ | | | | |
| Formula weight | 2177.35 | | | | |
| Crystal system | Triclinic | | | | |
| Space group | <i>P</i> -1 | | | | |
| Unit cell dimensions $a, b, c, Å$; | 14.0298(17), 15.228(2), 21.124(2) | | | | |
| α , β , γ , deg. | 77.603(7), 89.015(7), 81.236(7) | | | | |
| Temperature, K | 90(2) | | | | |
| Volume, Å ³ | 4355.7(9) | | | | |
| Ζ | 2 | | | | |
| Density (calculated), g/cm ³ | 1.660 | | | | |
| Absorption coefficient, mm ⁻¹ | 4.466 | | | | |
| <i>F</i> (000) | 2120 | | | | |
| Crystal size, mm | 0.24×0.12×0.08 | | | | |
| Collected / Independent reflections | $48202 / 20046 [R_{int} = 0.0793]$ | | | | |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents | | | | |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.7000 and 0.3052 | | | | |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on F^2 | | | | |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 20046 / 54 / 971 | | | | |
| Goodness-of-fit on F^2 | 1.012 | | | | |
| Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ | $R_1 = 0.0536, \ wR_2 = 0.1040$ | | | | |
| <i>R</i> indices (all data) | $R_1 = 0.0975, \ wR_2 = 0.1183$ | | | | |
| Largest diff. peak and hole, $e/Å^3$ | 1.677 and -1.828 | | | | |

Crystal data and structure refinement details for

tallises with three CHCl₃ molecules; one CHCl₃ was very disordered so the H atom was not included in the refinement, and Cl atoms were treated as partial Cl atoms over 8 sites. The F atoms of the $PF_6^$ ion and the other Cl atoms were restrained using the ISOR command in SHELX, to provide better ellipsoids.

A CIF-file containing complete information on the studied structure was deposited with CCDC, deposition number 951013, and is freely available from the web page http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/da-ta_request/cif.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The reaction of $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)_2(PPh_3)_4]$ with diphenyliodonium bromide (Ph_2IBr) proceeded smoothly in a methanol suspension following the methodology previously reported for the phenylthiolate complex $[Pt_2(\mu-S)(\mu-SPh)(PPh_3)_4]^+$ [8], giving an orange-brown solution containing the mono-arylated $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)(\mu-SePh)(PPh_3)_4]^+$ cation. The complex was isolated as a brown microcrystalline solid $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)(\mu-SePh)(PPh_3)_4]PF_6 \cdot 2 \cdot PF_6$ by the addition of excess NH₄PF₆, and the synthesis is summarised in Scheme 1. To date, the only complexes with singly derivatised $\{Pt_2(\mu-Se)(\mu-SeR)\}$ cores have R = Me, n-Bu or $CH_2C_6H_4CH_2Cl-p$ [16] so the arylation reaction described here extends the range of the known derivatives to include the first arylselenolate derivatives.



Scheme 1. Synthesis and isolation of $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)(\mu-SePh)(PPh_3)_4]PF_6$ (2 · PF₆)

The attempted reaction of $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)_2(PPh_3)_4]$ with an excess of Ph₂IBr in methanol for 16 h, followed by the analysis of the reaction mixture by positive-ion ESI MS, gave only **2**, plus the unreacted diphenyliodonium cation, and its aggregate ions $(Ph_2I^+ m/z \ 280.95, (Ph_2I)_2Br^+ m/z \ 642.84, (Ph_2I)_3Br_2^+ m/z \ 1002.72, (Ph_2I)_4Br_3^+ m/z \ 1364.61)$ with no evidence for the doubly-phenylated complex $[Pt_2(\mu-SePh_3)_4]^{2+}$. The same behaviour was observed for the sulfide analogue $[Pt_2(\mu-S)_2(PPh_3)_4]$ [8].

Complex $2 \cdot PF_6$ was characterised by ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectroscopy, ESI mass spectrometry, and an X-ray crystallographic study. The ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of $2 \cdot PF_6$ in CDCl₃ showed (in addition to the expected multiplet for the PF₆⁻ anion) two complex multiplet resonances for the PPh₃ ligands at δ 23.6 and 23.5 due to the two inequivalent types of PPh₃ ligands, *trans* to SePh or Se respectively. Coupling to ${}^{195}Pt$ produced distinctive satellites, and the value of ${}^{1}J(PtP)$ for the phosphines *trans* to selenide is approximately 2740 Hz, while that for the phosphines *trans* to SePh is approximately 3320 Hz, due to the lower *trans*-influence [28] of a SePh group compared to selenide. These coupling constants are both smaller than the corresponding values for $[Pt_2(\mu-S)(\mu-SPh)(PPh_3)_4]^+$ (2613 Hz and 3291 Hz) [8], indicating the selenium ligands to have slightly lower *trans*-influences than their sulfur counterparts. The UV-visible spectrum of a brown solution of $2 \cdot PF_6$ in CH₂Cl₂ showed an intense charge transfer absorption at <360 nm, with a low intensity shoulder centred at 430 nm. The bright yellow sulfur analogue $[Pt_2(\mu-S)(\mu-SPh)(PPh_3)_4]PF_6$ also showed an intense charge transfer absorption at <320 nm in this case was much better resolved.

782



The positive-ion ESI mass spectrum of $2 \cdot PF_6$ at a capillary exit voltage of 150 V showed a single dominant peak at m/z 1675.17 (calculated m/z 1675.17), with an isotope pattern that showed good agreement with the calculated pattern (Fig. 1). The fragmentation behaviour of 2 was investigated by increasing the capillary exit voltage. At 180 V there was no observed fragmentation, but at 210 V several fragment ions were observed and assigned as $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)(\mu-SePh)(PPh_3)_3]^+$ (m/z 1413.08, from loss of PPh₃), $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)(\mu-SePh)(PPh_3)_2]^+$ (m/z 1150.98, from loss of 2PPh₃), $[Pt(SePh)(PPh_3)_2]^+$ (m/z877.80, from fragmentation of the Pt₂Se₂ core), and $[Pt(PPh_3)(Ph_2PC_6H_4)]^+$ (m/z 718.14, from cyclometallation of a PPh₃ ligand) [29]. Numerous very low intensity ions were also observed at m/z < 1100, but were not assigned. The spectra of the thiolate analogue $[Pt_2(\mu-S)(\mu-SPh)(PPh_3)_4]^+$ were recorded under analogous conditions; while the overall features were fairly similar with the parent cation base peak in both cases, the formation of $[Pt(SePh)(PPh_3)_2]^+$ and $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)(\mu-SePh) \cdot (PPh_3)_2]^+$ were not paralleled in the sulfur system under these conditions.

ESI mass spectrometry has also been used to demonstrate that the metalloligand coordination chemistry of $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)(\mu-SePh)(PPh_3)_4]^+$ is analogous to the related systems such as $[Pt_2(\mu-S)(\mu-SPh)(PPh_3)_4]^+$. Mono-derivatised $[Pt_2(\mu-S)(\mu-SR)(PPh_3)_4]^+$ complexes have been shown to act as metalloligands to metal centres (gold(I) or mercury(II)) through the underivatised sulfide ligand [8, 30]. Mass spectrometry-monitored micro-scale reaction of $2 \cdot PF_6$ with Ph₃PAuCl gave the $[Pt_2(\mu-SeAuPPh_3)(\mu-SePh)(PPh_3)_4]^{2+}$ ion at m/z 1066.88 (calculated m/z 1067.11) and the reaction with organomercury chlorides RHgCl (R = Ph or ferrocenyl = Fc) gave $[Pt_2(\mu-SeHgFc)(\mu-SePh)(PPh_3)_4]^{2+}$ at m/z 1029.85 (calculated m/z 1030.07) and $[Pt_2(\mu-SeHgPh)(\mu-SePh)(PPh_3)_4]^{2+}$ at m/z 975.88 (calculated m/z 976.09).

Since the previously reported X-ray crystal structure of the sulfur analogue $[Pt_2(\mu-S)(\mu-SPh) \cdot (PPh_3)_4]^+$ was disordered [8], the structure of $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)(\mu-SePh)(PPh_3)_4]PF_6$ **2** was determined. Selected bond lengths and angles are given in Table 2. The structure of the core of cation **2** is shown in Fig. 2, which confirms the structure as a dinuclear platinum complex with bridging selenido and phenylselenolato ligands. The four-membered $\{Pt_2Se_2\}$ ring is slightly puckered, with a dihedral angle θ between the two PtSe₂ planes of 164.87°. This can be compared to the corresponding θ angle of 146.33° in the (more puckered) sulfur complex $[Pt_2(\mu-S)(\mu-SPh)(PPh_3)_4]^+$ [8] and the fully planar ($\theta = 180^\circ$) parent selenide $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)_2(PPh_3)_4]$ [15]. The four-membered ring in **2** is markedly less



Fig. 2. Structure of the core of the $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)(\mu-SePh) \cdot (PPh_3)_4]PF_6 \cdot 3CHCl_3$ complex showing the atom numbering scheme; only *ipso* carbon atoms of the four triphenyl-phosphine ligands are shown, and the PF_6^- counterion and

CHCl₃ molecules of crystallisation are omitted for clarity



Fig. 3. Space-filling view of $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)(\mu-SePh)(PPh_3)_4]^+$ cation **2**, showing the twisting of the SePh ring and the $\pi-\pi$ interaction between SePh phenyl and phenyl on a triphenyl-phosphine ligand

Table 2

| Selected bond lengths (| Å) and angles | (deg.) for | $P(\mu-Se)(\mu-Se)$ | ePh)(PPh ₃) ₄]PF ₆ | $\cdot 3 CHCl_3 (2)$ | $\cdot PF_6 \cdot 3CHCl_3$ |
|-------------------------|---------------|---|---------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------------|
| | , | (··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | . /\ _/ 0 | | 0 |

| Pt(1)—P(2) | 2.3256(19) | P(2) - Pt(1) - P(1) | 99.37(7) | P(4) - Pt(2) - P(3) | 100.49(7) |
|--------------|------------|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Pt(1)—Se(1) | 2.4918(8) | P(2) - Pt(1) - Se(1) | 92.80(5) | P(1) - Pt(1) - Se(1) | 167.75(5) |
| Pt(2)—P(4) | 2.3421(19) | P(2) - Pt(1) - Se(2) | 172.35(5) | P(1) - Pt(1) - Se(2) | 86.85(5) |
| Pt(2)—Se(2) | 2.5095(8) | P(4) - Pt(2) - Se(2) | 163.68(5) | P(3) - Pt(2) - Se(2) | 91.59(5) |
| Se(2)—C(1) | 2.018(9) | P(4) - Pt(2) - Se(1) | 87.82(5) | P(3) - Pt(2) - Se(1) | 171.39(5) |
| Pt(1) - P(1) | 2.3403(18) | Se(1)— $Pt(1)$ — $Se(2)$ | 81.12(2) | Se(2)— $Pt(2)$ — $Se(1)$ | 80.69(2) |
| Pt(1)—Se(2) | 2.5217(8) | Pt(1)—Se(1)—Pt(2) | 98.11(3) | Pt(2)—Se(2)—Pt(1) | 97.76(3) |
| Pt(2)—P(3) | 2.3510(19) | C(1)—Se(2)—Pt(2) | 96.6(3) | C(1)—Se(2)—Pt(1) | 102.6(3) |
| Pt(2)—Se(1) | 2.5261(8) | | | | |

puckered than the corresponding methylselenolate complex $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)(\mu-SeMe)(PPh_3)_4]^+$ for which $\theta = 133.6^\circ$ [16]. The geometry about the two platinum centres is only slightly distorted from the regular square-planar geometry, with τ_4 parameters (as defined by Houser et al [31]) of 0.14 and 0.18 for Pt(1) and Pt(2) respectively, indicating that Pt(1) is closest to square-planar (theoretical $\tau_4 = 0.00$).

The phenylselenolate ligand adopts an *exo* position in a 'pocket' bound by the PPh₃ ligands, with the Se—C bond 'perpendicular' to the {Pt₂Se₂} ring. The phenyl ring is not coplanar with the Se…Se vector, but is instead twisted, as can be seen in Fig. 2 and the space-filling plan diagram in Fig. 3; the Se…Se—C—C torsion angle is approximately 18°. As can be seen in Fig. 3, the phenylselenolate aromatic ring appears to be involved in a weak π — π interaction with a phenyl ring on P(1) phosphorus (ring C(121) to C(126)), the interplanar angle between the two rings being 4.05°, and the distance between the two ring centroids being 3.785 Å.

In conclusion, through a facile phenylation reaction using diphenyliodonium bromide, it has been possible to convert the selenido complex $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)_2(PPh_3)_4]$ into the phenylselenolate complex $[Pt_2(\mu-Se)(\mu-SePh)(PPh_3)_4]^+$, which has been fully characterised. The structural determination on the complex indicates a significantly flatter metallacycle compared to the sulfur analogue, with NMR spectroscopy indicating that the selenium ligands have slightly lower *trans* influences. These results suggest that the designer synthesis of a range of arylselenolate ligands on a diplatinum framework could be possible using an extension of this methodology.

The University of Waikato is acknowledged for financial support of this work, Pat Gread for assistance with mass spectrometry, and Dr. Tania Groutso (University of Auckland) for collection of X-ray data. W. Henderson thanks Prof. Paul Low (University of Durham) for generously providing facilities for the writing of this manuscript. We thank the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre for the *Mercury* program used for displaying the X-ray structure in this paper.

REFERENCES

- 1. Fong S.-W.A., Hor T.S.A. // J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1999. P. 639.
- 2. González-Duarte P., Lledós A., Mas-Ballesté R. // Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2004. P. 3585.
- Mas-Ballesté R., Aullón G., Champkin P.A., Clegg W., Mégret C., González-Duarte P., Lledós A. // Chem. Eur. J. – 2003. – 9. – P. 5023.
- 4. Novio F., Mas-Ballesté R., Gallardo I., González-Duarte P., Lledós A., Vila N. // Dalton Trans. 2005. – P. 2742; Jeram S., Henderson W., Nicholson B.K., Hor T.S.A. // J. Organomet. Chem. – 2006. – 691. – P. 2827.
- Chatt J., Mingos D.M.P. // J. Chem. Soc. A. 1970. P. 1243; Ugo R., La Monica G., Cenini S., Segre A., Conti F. // J. Chem. Soc. A. – 1971. – P. 522.
- 6. Henderson W., Chong S.H., Hor T.S.A. // Inorg. Chim. Acta. 2006. 359. P. 3440.
- 7. Nova A., Mas-Ballesté R., Ujaque G., González-Duarte P., Lledós A. // Chem. Cmmun. 2008. P. 3130.
- 8. Deadman B.J., Henderson W., Nicholson B.K., Petchell L.E., Rose S.L., Hor T.S.A. // Inorg. Chim. Acta. 2010. 363. P. 637.
- 9. Nova A., Mas-Ballesté R., Ujaque G., González-Duarte P., Lledós A. // Dalton Trans. 2009. P. 5980.
- 10. Li J., Koh L.L., Hor T.S.A. // Chem. Commun. 2009. P. 3416.
- 11. Mas-Ballesté R., Capdevila M., González-Duarte P., Hamidi M., Lledós A., Mégret C., de Montauzon D. // Dalton Trans. – 2004. – P. 706.
- Ruiz J., Rodríguez V., Pérez A., López G., Bautista D. // J. Organomet. Chem. 2004. 689. P. 2080; Mas-Ballesté R., Clegg W., Lledós A., González-Duarte P. // Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. – 2004. – P. 3223.
- Li J., Li F., Koh L.L., Hor T.S.A. // Dalton Trans. 2010. 39. P. 2441; Clarke H.M., Henderson W., Nicholson B.K. // Inorg. Chim. Acta. – 2011. – 376. – P. 446; Henderson W., Nicholson B.K., Bridson J.H., Kueh J.T., Hor T.S.A. // Inorg. Chim. Acta. – 2011. – 375. – P. 142.
- 14. Mas-Ballesté R., Champkin P.A., Clegg W., González-Duarte P., Lledós A., Ujaque G. // Organometallics. 2004. 23. P. 2522.
- 15. Bencini A., Di Vaira M., Morassi R., Stoppioni P., Mele F. // Polyhedron. 1996. 15. P. 2079.
- 16. Yeo J.S.L., Vittal J.J., Henderson W., Hor T.S.A. // Organometallics. 2002. 21. P. 2944.
- 17. Devoy S.M., Henderson W., Nicholson B.K., Hor T.S.A. // Inorg. Chim. Acta. 2009. 362. P. 1194.
- 18. Yeo J.S.L., Vittal J.J., Henderson W., Hor T.S.A. // J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 2002. P. 328.
- 19. Yeo J.S.L., Vittal J.J., Henderson W., Hor T.S.A. // Inorg. Chem. 2002. 41. P. 1194.
- 20. Yeo J.S.L., Vittal J.J., Hor T.S.A. // Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2003. P. 277.
- 21. Yeo J.S.L., Vittal J.J., Henderson W., Hor T.S.A. // J. Organomet. Chem. 2002. 659. P. 92.
- Jain V.K., Kannan S. // J. Organomet. Chem. 1991. 405. P. 265; Jain V.K., Kannan S., Butcher R.J., Jasinski J.P. // J. Organomet. Chem. 1994. 468. P. 285; Jain V.K., Kannan S., Butcher R.J., Jasinski J.P. // Polyhedron. 1995. 14. P. 3641; Dey S., Jain V.K., Varghese B. // J. Organomet. Chem. 2001. 623. P. 48; Oilunkaniemi R., Laitinen R.S., Ahlgrén M. // J. Organomet. Chem. 2001. 623. P. 48; Oilunkaniemi R., Laitinen R.S., Ahlgrén M. // J. Organomet. Chem. 2001. 623. P. 168; Brown M.J., Corrigan J.F. // J. Organomet. Chem. 2004. 689. P. 2872; Kumbhare L.B., Jain V.K., Phadnis P.P., Nethaji M. // J. Organomet. Chem. 2007. 692. P. 1546; Kumbhare L.B., Wadawale A.P., Jain V.K., Kolay S., Nethaji M. // J. Organomet. Chem. 2009. 694. P. 3892; Oilunkaniemi R., Laitinen R.S., Ahlgrén M. // J. Organomet. Chem. 1999. 587. P. 200; Singhala A., Jain V.K., Varghese B., Tiekink E.R.T. // Inorg. Chim. Acta. 1999. 285. P. 190; Fuller A.L., Knight F.R., Slawin A.M.Z., Woollins J.D. // Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2010. P. 4034.
- 23. Yeo J.S.L., Vittal J.J., Henderson W., Hor T.S.A. // J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 2001. P. 315.
- 24. Beringer F.M., Drexler M., Gindler E.M., Lumpkin C.C. // J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1953. 75. P. 2705.
- 25. *Blessing R.H.* // Acta Crystallogr. 1995. **A51**. P. 33.
- 26. Sheldrick G.M. SHELXS-97, SHELXL-97, Universität Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
- 27. Farrugia L.J. // J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1999. 32. P. 837.
- 28. Appleton T.G., Clark H.C., Manzer L.E. // Coord. Chem. Rev. 1973. 10. P. 335.
- 29. McCaffrey L.J., Henderson W., Nicholson B.K., Mackay J.E., Dinger M.B. // J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1997. P. 2577.
- 30. Henderson W., G.C. Saunders, Hor T.S.A. // Inorg. Chim. Acta. 2011. **368**. P. 6; Henderson W., Nicholson B.K., Devoy S.M., Hor T.S.A. // Inorg. Chim. Acta. 2008. **361**. P. 1908.
- 31. Yang L., Powell D.R., Houser R.P. // Dalton Trans. 2007. P. 955.