



(, , , ,)

— .

— .

» («The World in 2030») « 2030

[6].

()


, , , , ,

, , , , ,

, , , , ,

2015 . (CLI)¹. CLI 2010 .

¹ CLI (Composite Leading Indicators) –



. 1.

, «Doing Business Ranking», «World Bank Group», «Transparency International»

99,22 2015 100,12 . CLI

2015 CLI 98,8 97,94;

99,72 99,01; CLI

99,32,

99,33, ; CLI -

100,09 99,35 (. 1).

30%

25%

40%

2012 .


18%

9,4%

2015 .

	116	4	174	5
	51	1	41	1
	130	5	155	4
	84	3	136	3
	73	2	120	2

: *Doing Business* Ranking, World Bank Group. – URL: <http://www.doingbusiness.org/rankings>.



. 2.

«Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung», XVII-XX 2.0»: -
 , 2014 . 5.
 -
 -
 2014 . 2%, 2016 . 1,5%.
 :
 2% -
 -
 6 (4,1%), ,
 2016 ..
 -
 2013 . -
 , -
 -
 -
 50 . -
 -
 7, , 3 .
 , ó
 , -

⁵ The FAZ (2013). German News Paper, Frankfurt, Germany, March 12.

⁶ 2014 . 4,9%, - 25%
 (: CIA World Factbook. – URL: <http://www.ereport.ru/stat.php?count=G20>).

⁷ 4 . .

	-	,	,	,	-
		.	,		
1944 .					-
					,
					-
		100	.	.	-
					-
				8.	-
					,
					-
)		500	(.	-
					-
					,
2012 .					-
					-
	100	.	,		-
					-
					-
	(240).	,		-
		41	.,	,	-
8					30
2015 .					-
					-

18 , - 5 9.

2013 .
G20

2008 .


« »

[2; 3].

?

2012 2014 .
1,03 0,1%.
- 3,44 0,59%.
4,72% 2012 . 5,4% 2014 .

⁹ Indian Express (Business) (2013). New Delhi, Sept. 6.



. 3.

: 7,65% 2012 ., 7,69% 2013 . 7,39% 2014 .
2,22% 2012 . 1,5%

2014 . (. 3).

1990-

1980-

«Transparency

International» 2014 ., 10

10.

. 2,

¹⁰ Transparency International. (2014). Transparency in Corporate Reporting. – URL: www.transparency.org .

-10

2014 .

	ACP*	OT**	CBC***
«Agricultural Bank of China» ()	15	25	2
«Banco Bradesco» ()	54	31	0
«Bank of China» ()	4	25	1
«Bank of Communications» ()	19	19	2
«China Construction Bank» ()	15	38	2
«China Shenhua Energy Company» ()	23	38	0
«CNOOC Limited» ()	19	38	0
« » ()	54	50	0
«Industrial & Commercial Bank of China» ()	23	44	0
«Itau Unibanco Holding» ()	77	38	12

* (Reporting on Anti-corruption Programmes).

** (Organizational Transparency).

*** (Country by Country Reporting).

1. *Anaya, J.* (Trad.). (2013). Relaciones internacionales. China-África: un nexo que madura. *La Jornada*, Martes 28 de mayo de 2013, 24.

2. *Cooper, A.F.* (2013). BRICS in the G-20 Wall. *Indian Express*, Sept. 10.

3. *Gordhan, P.* (2013). South African Finance Minister. Durban, Sept. 5.

4. *Guerrera, F.* (2013). Perdieron sentido los BRIC? Entre el concepto económico y el de inversión. *The Wall Street Journal Americas*, May 14.

5. *Jalife-Rahme, A.* (2013). Tendencias actuales en la geopolítica mundial: el BRICS y la emancipación de Latinoamérica. 4th International Vanguard Science Congress. The Role of Science, Technology and Geo-Politics in the Self-Determination

of Mankind Ciudad de México, Mayo 28–30, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana Unidad Xochimilco.

6. *Ramone, I.* The World in 2030. – URL:<http://www.monde-diplomatique.es> (- 01.06.2013).

(,) – (202002, , - , e-mail: ba.iqbal.cm@amu.ac.in).

DOI: 10.15372/REG20160313

Region: Economics & Sociology, 2016, No. 3 (91), p. 238–249

B.A. Iqbal

THE BRICS ECONOMIC BLOC: RISKS AND INITIATIVES

BRICS has come up as an economic bloc. But analysts believe that it is China-dominated and hence will serve the cause of Chinese economy rather than the cause of other economies of the bloc. It is also believed that it could be an alternative to the European Union. Thus, the present paper will explore how far this notion is correct. The paper also deals with the creation of the BRICS Development Bank and its role in the promotion of growth and development in the bloc.

Keywords: BRICS; emerging economies; commodity exporters; colonialism

References

See p. 248–249

Information about the author

Iqbal, Badar Alam (Aligarh, India) – Doctor of Economics, Professor at Aligarh Muslim University (Aligarh, UP, 202002, India, e-mail: ba.iqbal.cm@amu.ac.in).

29.02.2016 .

© . ., 2016